

The `zeckendorf` package

JEAN-FRANÇOIS BURNOL

jfbu (at) free (dot) fr

Package version: 0.9c (2025/10/17)

From source file `zeckendorf.dtx` of 17-10-2025 at 19:39:13 CEST

Warning

This package is still in alpha stage. Any or all of the user interface may change in backwards incompatible ways at each release. Suggestions for new features are most welcome!

Mathematical background	1, p. 2
References	p. 5

Part I. User manual

Use on the command line	2, p. 6
The core package features	3, p. 7
Algebra in $\mathbb{Q}(\phi)$, extensions to the <code>\xinteval</code> syntax	3.1, p. 7
Fibonacci numbers	3.2, p. 10
<code>\ZeckTheFN</code> , <code>\ZeckTheFSeq</code> .	
Zeckendorf representation	3.3, p. 11
<code>\ZeckIndices</code> , <code>\ZeckWord</code> , <code>\ZeckNFromIndices</code> , <code>\ZeckNfromWord</code> .	
Knuth Fibonacci Multiplication	3.4, p. 13
<code>\ZeckKMul</code> , <code>\ZeckAMul</code> , <code>\ZeckSetAsKnuthOp</code> , <code>\ZeckSetAsArnouxOp</code> .	
Bergman phi-representation	3.5, p. 15
<code>\PhiExponents</code> , <code>\PhiBasePhi</code> , <code>\PhiXfromExponents</code> , <code>\PhiXfromBasePhi</code> .	
Typesetting	3.6, p. 20
<code>\ZeckPrintIndexedSum</code> , <code>\PhiPrintIndexedSum</code> , <code>\PhiTypesetX</code> .	
Use as a \LaTeX package	4, p. 21
Use with Plain ϵ-\TeX	5, p. 21
Changes	6, p. 22
License	7, p. 23

Part II. Commented source code

Core code	8, p. 24
Interactive code	9, p. 54
\LaTeX code	10, p. 59

1. Mathematical background

1. Mathematical background

Let us recall that the Fibonacci sequence starts with $F_0 = 0$, $F_1 = 1$, and obeys the recurrence $F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}$ for $n \geq 2$. So $F_2 = 1$, $F_3 = 2$, $F_4 = 3$ and by a simple induction $F_k = k-1$. Ahem, not at all! Here are the first few, starting at $F_2 = 1$:

1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, 34, 55, 89, 144, 233, 377, 610, 987, 1597, 2584...

The ratios of consecutive Fibonacci numbers are the convergents of the golden ratio ϕ .

$$\phi = \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \approx 1.61803398874989484820458683437.$$

The Fibonacci recurrence can also be prolonged to negative n 's, and it turns out that $F_{-n} = (-1)^{n-1}F_n$.

Let us give a few equations which are constantly in use. The first one implies explicitly, in particular, that $\mathbf{Z}[\phi]$ (i.e. all polynomial expression in ϕ with integer coefficients) is $\mathbf{Z} + \mathbf{Z}\phi$.

$$\forall n \in \mathbf{Z} \quad \phi^n = F_{n-1} + F_n \phi. \quad (1)$$

Applying the $\phi \leftrightarrow \psi = -\phi^{-1} = 1 - \phi$ automorphism of the ring $\mathbf{Z}[\phi]$ and adding we obtain the **Lucas** numbers:

$$L_n = \phi^n + \psi^n = 2F_{n-1} + F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n+1}. \quad (2)$$

If subtracting, we obtain the **Binet** formula:

$$F_n = \frac{\phi^n - \psi^n}{\phi - \psi}. \quad (3)$$

Of course one should always keep in mind that $-1 < \psi < 0$. And perhaps also that $\phi - \psi = \sqrt{5}$.

Finally, there is an important formula using 2×2 -matrices, closely related with equation (1) and the recurrence relation of the Fibonacci numbers:

$$\forall n \in \mathbf{Z} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^n = \begin{pmatrix} F_{n+1} & F_n \\ F_n & F_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4)$$

Zeckendorf's Theorem (**Lekkerkerker's** [1] in 1952 (preprint 1951) attributes the result to Zeckendorf; Zeckendorf, who was not in academia, published [2] only later in 1972) says that any positive integer has a unique representation as a sum of the Fibonacci numbers F_n , $n \geq 2$, under the conditions that no two indices differ by one, and that no index is repeated. For example:

$$\begin{aligned} 10 &= 8 + 2 = F_6 + F_3 \\ 100 &= 89 + 8 + 3 = F_{11} + F_6 + F_4 \\ 1,000 &= 987 + 13 = F_{16} + F_7 \\ 10,000 &= 6765 + 2584 + 610 + 34 + 5 + 2 = F_{20} + F_{18} + F_{15} + F_9 + F_5 + F_3 \\ 100,000 &= 75025 + 17711 + 6765 + 377 + 89 + 21 + 8 + 3 + 1 \end{aligned}$$

1. Mathematical background

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= F_{25} + F_{22} + F_{20} + F_{14} + F_{11} + F_8 + F_6 + F_4 + F_2 \\
 1,000,000 &= 832040 + 121393 + 46368 + 144 + 55 \\
 &= F_{30} + F_{26} + F_{24} + F_{12} + F_{10} \\
 10,000,000 &= 9227465 + 514229 + 196418 + 46368 + 10946 + 4181 + 377 + 13 + 3 \\
 &= F_{35} + F_{29} + F_{27} + F_{24} + F_{21} + F_{19} + F_{14} + F_7 + F_4 \\
 100,000,000 &= F_{39} + F_{37} + F_{35} + F_{32} + F_{30} + F_{28} + F_{23} + F_{21} + F_{15} + F_{13} + F_{11} + F_9 + F_4
 \end{aligned}$$

This is called the Zeckendorf representation, and it can be given either as above, or as the list of the indices (in decreasing or increasing order), or as a binary word which in the examples above are

$$\begin{aligned}
 10 &= 10010_{\text{zeck}} \\
 100 &= 1000010100_{\text{zeck}} \\
 1,000 &= 100000000100000_{\text{zeck}} \\
 10,000 &= 1010010000010001010_{\text{zeck}} \\
 100,000 &= 100101000001001001010101_{\text{zeck}} \\
 1,000,000 &= 10001010000000000010100000000_{\text{zeck}} \\
 10,000,000 &= 1000001010010010100001000000100100_{\text{zeck}} \\
 100,000,000 &= 10101001010100001010000010101010000100_{\text{zeck}} \\
 1,000,000,000 &= 1010000100100001010101000001000101000101001_{\text{zeck}}
 \end{aligned}$$

The least significant digit says whether the Zeckendorf representation uses F_2 and so on from right to left (one may prefer to put the binary digits in the reverse order, but doing as above is more reminiscent of binary, decimal, or other representations using a given radix).

In a Zeckendorf binary word the sub-word **11** never occurs, and this, combined with the fact that the leading digit is **1**, characterizes the Zeckendorf words.

Donald Knuth (whose name may ring some bells to \TeX users) has defined in 1988 a **Fibonacci multiplication** ([3]) of positive integers via the formula

$$a \circ b = \sum_{i,j} F_{a_i+b_j}, \quad (5)$$

where $a = \sum F_{a_i}$ and $b = \sum F_{b_j}$ are the Zeckendorf representations of the positive integers a and b . Although it is sometimes true that formula (5) remains valid when using non-Zeckendorf expressions of a and/or b as sums of Fibonacci numbers, this is not a general rule. The next identity by Knuth, which applies whenever three positive integers a, b, c are expressed via their Zeckendorf representations, is thus non-trivial:

$$(a \circ b) \circ c = \sum_{i,j,k} F_{a_i+b_j+c_k}. \quad (6)$$

From it, the associativity of the Fibonacci multiplication follows immediately, the same as commutativity followed immediately from (5).

1. Mathematical background

Knuth's proof is combinatorial in nature. **Pierre Arnoux** ([4]) obtained in 1989 a non-combinatorial proof of associativity based upon the identification of a certain subset (or subsets) of the ring $\mathbb{Z}[\phi]$, closed under multiplication, and indexed by the positive integers. The circle-product on the indices is mapped to the standard multiplication of these algebraic integers A_n : $A_n A_m = A_{n \circ m}$. As by-product of this, he obtained the following remarkable alternative formula for the Knuth product:

$$a \circ b = ab + a \sum_j F_{b_j-1} + b \sum_i F_{a_i-1} . \quad (7)$$

Again, here we use the Zeckendorf representations of the positive integers a and b . Clearly formula (7) is advantageous numerically compared to original definition (5). Arnoux also re-interpreted a ``star-product'' which had been defined by **Horacio Porta** and **Kenneth Stolarsky** ([5]).

Donald Knuth (see [6, 7.1.3]) has shown that any relative integer has a unique representation as a sum of the ``NegaFibonacci'' numbers F_{-n} , $n \geq 1$, again with the condition that no index is repeated and no two indices differ by one. In the special case of zero, the representation is an empty sum. Here is the sequence of these ``NegaFibonacci'' numbers starting at $n = -1$:

1, -1, 2, -3, 5, -8, 13, -21, 34, -55, 89, -144, 233, -377, 610, -987...

In 1957, the twelve-year-old **George Bergman** ([7]) introduced the notion of a ``base ϕ '' number system. This uses 0 and 1 as digits but with the ambiguity rule $011 \leftrightarrow 100$ due to $\phi^2 = \phi + 1$. He proved that any positive integer can be represented this way finitely, i.e. is a *finite* sum of powers ϕ^k , with decreasing relative integers as exponents (i.e. each power occurring at most once and it is crucial that negative powers are allowed). For example:

$$100 = \phi^9 + \phi^6 + \phi^3 + \phi^1 + \phi^{-4} + \phi^{-7} + \phi^{-10} = 1001001010,0001001001_\phi .$$

Such a finite ``phi-ary'' representation (it seems ``phi-representation'' is the more commonly used term in academia) is unique if one adds the condition that no two exponents differ by one. This is equivalent to requiring that the number of terms is minimal. The real numbers which can be represented by such finite sums are exactly the positive numbers in $\mathbb{Z}[\phi]$, i.e. all combinations $p + q\phi$ with p and q relative integers which turn out to be strictly positive.

$$100 - 30\phi = \phi^8 + \phi^3 + \phi^{-3} + \phi^{-10} = 100001000,0010000001_\phi .$$

The naive approach to obtain the finite phi-representations, and actually prove that they do exist for all positive integers, is to show how to repeatedly add 1 (hence also powers of ϕ). One then only needs to explain how to subtract 1 (hence also powers of ϕ) to deduce that all $p + q\phi > 0$ are representable. This is actually what Bergman did. If one wants, as we do, to be able to obtain the representations for integers having say more than a few decimal digits, this theoretical approach is simply not feasible as is, one needs a bit more thinking.

REFERENCES

A theoretical way, called the ``greedy'' algorithm, is based upon the fact that for any $x = p + q\phi > 0$, the maximal exponent $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ in its minimal representation is characterized by $\phi^k \leq x < \phi^{k+1}$. So one only needs to get k and then replace x by $x - \phi^k$. Doing this using floating point number calculations will only be able to handle integers with few enough digits to be exactly representable, and may lead at some point to a negative x , hence fail, due to rounding errors. So here again one has to think a bit.

This has been done by the author, and the resulting algorithm is implemented (expandably) here in ϵ -TeX. Of course this is only elementary mathematics and it would be extremely surprising if the algorithm was not in the literature. Inputs of hundreds of digits are successfully handled. The same, implemented in C or other language with a library for big integers, would of course go way beyond and be a thousand times faster.

An ``integer-only'' algorithm (i.e. an algorithm which can be made to process only integers, but is in fact restricted to them; to compare, the approach described in the previous paragraph is in principle also implementable using integers only, but it applies to all $x = p + q\phi > 0$ not only to integers) to obtain the Bergman minimal ϕ -representation of a positive integer N is explained by **Donald Knuth** in the solution to Problem 35 of section 1.2.8 from [8] (there is a typographical error with a missing negative sign in an exponent there, on page 495; this has been reported to the author). It starts with the position of N with respect to Lucas numbers, the more subtle case being when N follows an odd indexed Lucas number. One has to think a bit how to find efficiently the largest Lucas number at most equal to N , when N has hundreds of digits, which is reducible and equivalent to identifying the maximal k such as $\phi^k \leq x$, but here x only has to be an integer N . This is very similar to finding the Zeckendorf maximal index which essentially means to locate $\sqrt{5}N$ with respect to powers of ϕ .

For $x = N$ an **integer** (at least 2) it can be proven that the smallest contribution $\phi^{-\ell}$ to the minimal Bergman representation is with $\ell = k$ if k is even and $\ell = k + 1$ if k is odd. Otherwise stated ℓ is the smallest even integer at least equal to k . (So we can always find the location of the radix separator if we had lost it).

Christiane Frougny and **Jacques Sakarovitch** ([9]) showed that there exists a (non explicit) finite two-tape automaton which converts the Zeckendorf expansion of a positive integer into the Bergman representation (where the part with negative exponents is ``folded'' across the radix point to sit on top (or below) the part with positive exponents). Very recently **Jeffrey Shallit** ([10]) has revisited this topic and constructed explicitly a Frougny-Sakarovitch automaton.

References

- [1] C. G. Lekkerkerker. Voorstelling van natuurlijke getallen door een som van getallen van Fibonacci. *Simon Stevin*, 29:190--195, 1951-1952.

- [2] E. Zeckendorf. Représentation des nombres naturels par une somme de nombres de Fibonacci ou de nombres de Lucas. *Bull. Soc. Roy. Sci. Liège*, 41:179--182, 1972.
- [3] Donald E. Knuth. Fibonacci multiplication. *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 1(1):57--60, 1988.
- [4] Pierre Arnoux. Some remarks about Fibonacci multiplication. *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 2(4):319--320, 1989.
- [5] H. Porta and K. B. Stolarsky. The edge of a golden semigroup. In *Number theory, Vol. I (Budapest, 1987)*, volume 51 of *Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai*, pages 465--471. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1990.
- [6] Donald E. Knuth. *The art of computer programming. Vol. 4A. Combinatorial algorithms. Part 1*. Addison-Wesley, Upper Saddle River, NJ, 2011.
- [7] George Bergman. A number system with an irrational base. *Math. Mag.*, 31:98--110, 1957/58.
- [8] Donald E. Knuth. *The art of computer programming. Vol. 1*. Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, third edition, 1997. Fundamental algorithms.
- [9] Christiane Frougny and Jacques Sakarovitch. Automatic conversion from Fibonacci representation to representation in base ϕ , and a generalization. volume 9, pages 351--384. 1999. Dedicated to the memory of Marcel-Paul Schützenberger.
- [10] Jeffrey Shallit. Proving properties of φ -representations with the Walnut theorem-prover. *Commun. Math.*, 33(2):Paper No. 3, 33, 2025.

Part I.

User manual

2. Use on the command line

Open a command line window and execute:

```
etex zeckendorf
```

then follow the displayed instructions.

The (T_EX Live) ***etex** executables are not linked with the **readline** library, and this makes interactive use quite painful. If you are on a decent system, launch the interactive session rather via

```
rlwrap etex zeckendorf
```

for a smoother experience.

3. The core package features

3.1. Algebra in $Q(\phi)$, extensions to the `\xinteval` syntax

The `\xinteval` syntax is extended in the following manner:

1. Bracketed pairs `[a, b]` represent $a+b\phi$, where ϕ is the golden ratio, and one can operate on them with `+`, `-` (also as prefix unary operator), `*`, `/`, and `^` (or `**`) to do additions, subtractions, multiplications, divisions and powers with integer exponents.

So `a` and `b` can be rational numbers and are not limited to integers for these computations.

`phi` stands for `[0,1]` and its conjugate `psi = [1, -1]` is defined also. One can use on input `a + b phi`, which on output will be printed as `[a, b]`.

DO NOT USE `\phi` OR `\psi`... except if redefined to expand to the letters `phi` and `psi` but this not recommended...!

```
\xinteval{phi^50, psi^50, phi^50 * psi^50}
[7778742049, 12586269025], [20365011074, -12586269025], [1, 0]
```

```
\xinteval{(1+phi)(10-7phi)(3+phi)/(2+phi)^3}
[87/25, -59/25]
```

```
\xinteval{add(phi^n, n = -4,-7,-10, 1, 3, 6, 9)}
[100, 0]
```

```
\xinteval{phi^20 / phi^10}
[34, 55]
```

TeX-nical note: When dividing, and except if both operands are scalars, the coefficients of the result are reduced to their smallest terms; but for scalar-only division, one needs to use the `reduce()` function explicitly.

The `[0, 0]` acts as `0` in operations, but is not automatically replaced by it, if produced by a subtraction for example. It is not allowed as an exponent for powers.

2. The functions `phisign()`, `phiabs()`, `phinorm()`, `phiconj()` do what one expects.

Attention: `\xinteval` functions are always used with parentheses, not with curly braces, contrarily to macros!

```
\xinteval{phisign(10000 - 6180 phi)}
1
```

```
\xinteval{phisign(10000 - 6181 phi)}
-1
```

```
\xinteval{phiabs(10000 - 6181 phi)}
[-10000, 6181]
```

```
\xinteval{phinorm(10000 - 6180 phi)}
```

3. The core package features

7600

```
\xinteval{(10000 - 6180 phi) * phiconj(10000 - 6180 phi)}  
[7600, 0]
```

3. The function `fib()` computes the Fibonacci numbers (also for negative indices), and `fibseq()` will compute a consecutive stretch of them.

```
\xinteval{seq(fib(n), n=-5..5, 10, 20, 100)}  
5, -3, 2, -1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 55, 6765, 354224848179261915075
```

```
\xinteval{seq(fib(2^n), n=1..7)}  
1, 3, 21, 987, 2178309, 10610209857723, 251728825683549488150424261
```

T_EX-nical note: In the next example, `\xintFor` expands only once, but `\xinteval` needs two expansion steps so we use `\expanded` wrapper. We could have used `\xintFor*` but then we need `\xintCSVtoList` wrapper. We also could have used some `\romannumeral-`0` prefix but I figured `\expanded` looked less scary. For details on `\xintFor`/`\xintFor*` check the `xinttools` documentation.

```
\xintFor #1 in {\expanded{\xinteval{*fibseq(100, 110)}}}%  
  \do{#1\xintifForLast{.\par}{, \newline}}  
354224848179261915075,  
573147844013817084101,  
927372692193078999176,  
1500520536206896083277,  
2427893228399975082453,  
3928413764606871165730,  
6356306993006846248183,  
10284720757613717413913,  
16641027750620563662096,  
26925748508234281076009,  
43566776258854844738105.
```

In the previous example, note the syntax `*fibseq(100,110)`. Indeed `fibseq()` produces a `nutple` (see `xintexpr` documentation), i.e. the output will display brackets `[...]`:

```
\xinteval{fibseq(20, 25)}  
[6765, 10946, 17711, 28657, 46368, 75025]
```

With the `*` prefix the brackets are removed.

Warning: `fibseq(m,n)` will currently fall in an infinite loop if `n<=m`.

4. The `zeckindices()` function computes the indices needed for the Zeckendorf representation. The input must be an integer, if its is negative it is replaced by its opposite. The zero input gives an empty output (i.e. is printed as `[]`).

```
\xinteval{zeckindices(123456789)}  
[40, 36, 34, 28, 26, 24, 18, 16, 13, 7, 5, 2]
```

We use the `*` prefix to not have brackets in the output.

3. The core package features

```
\xinteval{*zeckindices(123456789123456789123456789)}  
126, 123, 119, 117, 109, 104, 101, 95, 93, 90, 86, 84, 81, 76, 72, 69,  
63, 61, 59, 55, 52, 50, 46, 41, 39, 37, 35, 33, 31, 29, 27, 25, 23, 20,  
14, 11, 9, 6, 4, 2
```

```
\xinteval{*zeckindices(1e40)}  
193, 186, 176, 174, 167, 163, 161, 159, 157, 153, 150, 147, 145, 143,  
141, 139, 136, 134, 130, 126, 119, 115, 113, 110, 108, 106, 103, 101,  
98, 95, 93, 91, 89, 86, 83, 78, 73, 67, 65, 63, 60, 57, 55, 52, 50, 47,  
45, 39, 37, 32, 28, 23, 21, 19, 16, 13, 5
```

It is easy with this syntax to manipulate the indices in various ways. Let's print them from smallest to largest:

```
\xinteval{*reversed(zeckindices(123456789123456789123456789))}  
2, 4, 6, 9, 11, 14, 20, 23, 25, 27, 29, 31, 33, 35, 37, 39, 41, 46, 50,  
52, 55, 59, 61, 63, 69, 72, 76, 81, 84, 86, 90, 93, 95, 101, 104, 109,  
117, 119, 123, 126
```

The power of `\xinteval`, always eager to prove $A=A$, can be demonstrated:

```
\xinteval{add(fib(n), n = *zeckindices(123456789))}  
123456789
```

```
\xinteval{add(fib(n), n = *zeckindices(123456789123456789123456))}  
123456789123456789123456
```

5. the `$` is added as infix operator on *positive* integers (it will error if used with negative integers), to compute the Knuth Fibonacci multiplication. It does it using the Arnoux formula (5). The `$$` does the same but using the original Knuth formula (5).

For examples see [subsubsection 3.4.1](#).

6. The `phiexponents()` function computes the exponents in the Bergman ϕ -representation of its input. This input must be either an integer or a bracketed pair `[a,b]` or equivalently `a + b phi`, standing for $a+b\phi$ with a and b relative integers. If $a+b\phi < 0$ it is replaced by its opposite. The output is the empty nutple `[]` if input is zero. Non-integer input is truncated to integers.

The `phiexponents()` function produces a bracketed list.

```
\xinteval{phiexponents(100)}\newline  
\xinteval{phiexponents(100 - 50phi)}\newline  
\xinteval{phiexponents(-100 + 50phi)}\newline  
\xinteval{phiexponents(100 - 50psi)}  
[9, 6, 3, 1, -4, -7, -10]  
[6, 0, -4, -10]  
[6, 0, -4, -10]  
[10, 4, 0, -6]
```

We can use `*` prefix as already indicated if we prefer not to see the brackets:

3. The core package features

```
\xinteval{*phiexponents(3141592653)}  
45, 42, 31, 29, 27, 25, 21, 18, 6, 1, -2, -6, -19, -23, -32, -43, -46
```

The added `\xinteval` syntax elements are also sometimes exemplified alongside their respective matching macros. Not all macros defined by the package are documented, because documentation takes incredible amount of times and induces costly maintenance. See the commented source code.

Important

The added syntax elements are only defined for `\xinteval`. It is possible though to access them inside of `\xintiieval` or `\xintfloateval` using the lower-level `\xintexpr`. Here is an example:

```
\xintfloateval{\xintexpr fib(100) / fib(99)\relax}  
1.618033988749895
```

The variables `phi` and `psi` can not be used for operations directly inside of `\xintfloateval`. And they should not be redefined as floating point variables, as this would break their usage in `\xinteval`. But one can transfer computations after having defined first an auxiliary `\xintfloateval` function:

```
\xintdeffloatfunc phi_to_fp(x):= x[0] + (1+sqrt(5))/2 * x[1];  
Then one can use it this way:  
\xintfloateval{phi_to_fp(\xintexpr (1+phi)(1+2phi)(1-3phi)\relax)}  
-42.74264578624801
```

3.2. Fibonacci numbers

3.2.1. `\ZeckTheFN`

This macro computes Fibonacci numbers.

```
\ZeckTheFN{100}  
354224848179261915075  
\ZeckTheFN{100 + 15}  
483162952612010163284885
```

As shown, the argument can be an integer expression (only in the sense of `\inteval`, not in the one of `\xinteval`, for example you can not have powers only additions and multiplications). Negative arguments are allowed:

```
\ZeckTheFN{0}, \ZeckTheFN{-1}, \ZeckTheFN{-2}, \ZeckTheFN{-3},  
\ZeckTheFN{-4}  
0, 1, -1, 2, -3
```

`fib()`

The syntax of `\xinteval` is extended via addition of a `fib()` function, which gives a convenient interface. See its documentation in [subsection 3.1](#).

3. The core package features

3.2.2. `\ZeckTheFSeq`

This computes not only one but a whole contiguous series of Fibonacci numbers but its output format is a sequence of braced numbers, and tools such as those of `xinttools` are needed to manipulate its output. For this reason it is not further documented here.

`fibseq()`

The syntax of `\xinteval` is extended via addition of a `fibseq()` function, which gives a convenient interface:

```
\xinteval{fibseq(10,20)}
```

```
[55, 89, 144, 233, 377, 610, 987, 1597, 2584, 4181, 6765]
```

Notice the square brackets used on output. In the terminology of `xintexpr`, the function produces a `nutple`. Use the `*` prefix to remove the brackets:

```
\xinteval{reversed(*fibseq(-20,-10))}
```

```
-55, 89, -144, 233, -377, 610, -987, 1597, -2584, 4181, -6765
```

IMPORTANT

Above we used the `reversed()` function to get the output in order from F_{-10} to F_{-20} and not from F_{-20} to F_{-10} .

Indeed currently, `fibseq(a,b)` falls into an infinite loop if $a \geq b$. Use it only with $a < b$.

3.3. Zeckendorf representation

3.3.1. `\ZeckIndices`

This computes the Zeck representation as a comma separated list of indices. The input is only f -expanded, if you need it to be an expression you must wrap it in `\xinteval`.

The macro is also known as `\ZeckZeck`.

IMPORTANT

The input **must be positive**. No check is made that this is the case.

```
\ZeckZeck{123456789123456789123456789}
```

```
126, 123, 119, 117, 109, 104, 101, 95, 93, 90, 86, 84, 81, 76, 72, 69, 63, 61,  
59, 55, 52, 50, 46, 41, 39, 37, 35, 33, 31, 29, 27, 25, 23, 20, 14, 11, 9, 6,  
4, 2
```

3. The core package features

zeckindices()

The syntax of `\xinteval` is extended via addition of a `zeckindices()` function, which gives a more convenient interface.

Contrarily to the macro interface, it will silently replace its input by its absolute value, and will accept zero as input, and then produce the empty tuple. See examples in [subsection 3.1](#).

3.3.2. `\ZeckWord`

This computes the Zeck representation as a binary word. The input is only *f*-expanded, if you need it to be an expression you must wrap it in `\xinteval`.

IMPORTANT

The input **must be positive**. No check is made that this is the case.

```
\ZeckWord{123456789}
100010100000101010000010100100000101001
```

```
\ZeckWord{\xinteval{2^40}}
10001000000010000010100000101010101010001000101010100010
```

As \TeX does not by default split long strings of digits at the line ends, we gave so far only some small examples. See `xint` or `bnumexpr` documentations for a `\printnumber` macro able to add linebreaks. Using such an auxiliary (a bit refined) we can for example obtain this:

```
\ZeckWord{\xinteval{2^100}}
1010000010010010101010100100000000100100100101010001010010000100100101
00100000000101000010010101010000001010010001000000001001001000100101
00
```

Compare the above with the list of indices in the Zeckendorf representation: 145, 143, 137, 134, 131, 129, 127, 125, 123, 120, 111, 108, 105, 102, 100, 98, 94, 92, 89, 84, 81, 78, 76, 73, 64, 62, 57, 54, 52, 50, 48, 41, 39, 36, 32, 22, 19, 16, 12, 9, 6, 4.

3.3.3. `\ZeckNFromIndices`

This computes an integer from a list of (comma separated) indices. These indices do not have to be positive, their order is indifferent and they can be repeated or differ by only one unit. The list is allowed to be empty. Contiguous commas (or commas separated only by space characters) act as a single one, a final comma is tolerated. A new *f*-expansion is done at each item, they can be (*f*-expandable) macros.

```
\ZeckNFromIndices{\newline}
\ZeckNFromIndices{100, ,, 90, 80, 70, 60, 50, 40, 30 , , , ,}
0
357128524055170099155
\ZeckIndices{357128524055170099155}
100, 90, 80, 70, 60, 50, 40, 30
```


3. The core package features

\$, \$\$

The syntax of `\xinteval` is extended via addition of a `$` infix operator computing according to the Arnoux formula (7), and `$$` computing according to the Knuth formula (5).

```
\xinteval{(100 $ 200) $ 300, 100 $ (200 $ 300), 100 $$ 200 $$ 300}
30079200, 30079200, 30079200
```

Let us mention here that we could have defined a `knuth()` function easily using the powerful `\xinteval` syntax:

```
\xintNewFunction{knuth}[2]
  {add(fib(x), x = flat(ndmap(+, *zeckindices(#1); *zeckindices(#2);)))}
\xinteval{knuth(100,200), knuth(knuth(100,200),300),
          knuth(100,knuth(200,300))}
44800, 30079200, 30079200
```

TeX-nical note: We could not have used `\xintdeffunc` here to define `knuth()`, so we used the `\xintNewFunction` interface. The sole inconvenient is that when using `knuth()` it is as if we injected by hand the replacement expression, which will have to be parsed by `\xinteval`.

The advantage is that we have now the means to check the validity of Knuth's triple product formula (6):

```
\xintNewFunction{knuththree}[3]
  {add(fib(x), x= flat(ndmap(+, *zeckindices(#1);
                          *zeckindices(#2);
                          *zeckindices(#3);)))}
\xinteval{knuththree(100, 200, 300)}
\newline
\xinteval{knuththree(1000, 2000, 3000),
          1000 $ 2000 $ 3000,
          1000 $$ 2000 $$ 3000}
30079200
29998632000, 29998632000, 29998632000
```

3.4.2. `\ZeckSetAsKnuthOp`, `\ZeckSetAsArnouxOp`

This takes as input a character, or multiple characters, and turns them (as a unit) into an infix operator computing the Knuth multiplication, respectively according to the original Knuth definition (5) or to the Arnoux formula (7). The pre-defined meanings of `$` or `$$` for this will not be canceled. One may use `\ZeckDeleteOperator{<operator>}` to delete the existing meaning of an `\xinteval` operator.

IMPORTANT

There is NO WARNING if you override a pre-existing operator from the `\xinteval` syntax, and not all such operators are user-documented because some exist for internal purposes only. But if done inside a group or environment, the former meaning will be recovered on exit.

3. The core package features

There are a few important points to be aware of:

- You can use a letter such as `o` as operator but it then must be used prefixed by `\string` which is not convenient:

```
\ZeckSetAsArnouxOp{o}
\xinteval{100 \string o 200 \string o 300}
30079200
```

- With a Unicode engine, there are plenty of available characters that are already of catcode 12. For example:

```
\ZeckSetAsArnoux{⊙}
\xinteval{100 ⊙ 200 ⊙ 300}
30079200
```

You can also use letters from Greek or other scripts, but make sure they have catcode 12.

- It is not possible to use as operator a control sequence such as `\odot`. It has to be one or more non-letter characters. It can not be the period (full stop) which, although not being a predefined operator is recognized as decimal separator (even in `\xinteval` due to some shared code with `\xinteval`).
- In case your document is compiled with `pdflatex` or `latex` and uses Babel, some characters may be catcode active. To make them part of a name of an operator defined by, each such catcode active character has to be prefixed with `\string` in the argument of `\ZeckSetAsKnuthOp`. But `\string` is then *unnneeded* inside `\xinteval` (since `xintexpr 1.4n`).

3.5. Bergman phi-representation

3.5.1. `\PhiExponents`

It has a unique mandatory argument which can be (or expand too) either an integer `a`, or two braced integers `{a}{b}`.

It outputs the comma separated list of the exponents from the minimal Bergman representation of the absolute value of $a + b\phi$. If $a + b\phi < 0$, this list will be prefixed by a period. If $a = b = 0$, the output is empty.

`phiexponents()`

The syntax of `\xinteval` is extended via addition of a `phiexponents()` function, which gives a more convenient interface.

Contrarily to the macro, it loses the information about the sign of the input and tacitly replaces it with its absolute value.

See [subsection 3.1](#) for examples.

```
\[100\rightarrow \PhiExponents{100}\]
100 → 9, 6, 3, 1, -4, -7, -10
```

```
\[100\phi\rightarrow \PhiExponents{{0}{100}}\]
```

3. The core package features

$100\phi \rightarrow 10, 7, 4, 2, -3, -6, -9$

```
\[1000000\rightarrow \PhiExponents{1000000}\]
```

$1000000 \rightarrow 28, 26, 20, 16, 13, 8, 4, 0, -4, -9, -11, -14, -16, -20, -26, -28$

```
$10^{20}\rightarrow\}$ \PhiExponents{\xinteval{10^20}}.
```

$10^{20} \rightarrow 95, 93, 86, 84, 67, 65, 62, 60, 45, 41, 38, 31, 28, 23, 21, 16, 12, 6, 4, -4, -6, -12, -17, -19, -24, -29, -32, -39, -43, -46, -60, -63, -68, -84, -87, -89, -91, -96.$

We did not use math mode for the longer output, because \TeX needs extra instructions to wrap the line. But the separator can be customized to this aim:

```
\renewcommand\PhiExponentsSep
```

```
{,\allowbreak\hskip0pt plus 1pt\relax}
```

```
$10^{50}\rightarrow \PhiExponents{\xinteval{10^50}}$.
```

$10^{50} \rightarrow 239, 234, 232, 226, 223, 219, 217, 212, 205, 202, 200, 196, 192, 189, 186, 177, 173, 169, 165, 161, 159, 152, 149, 146, 144, 138, 131, 129, 127, 123, 120, 116, 114, 109, 107, 105, 103, 100, 98, 96, 94, 88, 86, 84, 82, 79, 76, 74, 72, 65, 63, 61, 57, 55, 53, 48, 41, 35, 33, 30, 28, 26, 22, 16, 14, 12, 9, 6, 4, 2, -2, -4, -7, -10, -12, -14, -16, -22, -26, -28, -31, -37, -39, -42, -49, -51, -59, -66, -72, -74, -77, -80, -82, -84, -86, -88, -94, -96, -98, -101, -110, -114, -116, -121, -125, -132, -138, -144, -147, -150, -153, -155, -157, -163, -167, -171, -175, -178, -187, -190, -192, -196, -200, -203, -206, -213, -215, -221, -224, -226, -232, -235, -237, -240.$

The attentive reader will have noticed though that our math mode does not differ much from our nice monospace text mode. Maybe look at some other \TeX package by the author to find some clues explaining this top-quality type-setting.

3.5.2. Φ BasePhi

It has a unique mandatory argument which can be (or expand two) either an integer a , or two braced integers $\{a\}\{b\}$.

It computes the Bergman ϕ -representation of $x = a + b\phi$ if x turns out to be positive, outputs \emptyset if both a and b vanish, and outputs a minus sign followed with the expansion of the opposite of x if $x < 0$.

The output for positive x is a sequence of 1's and 0's with possibly a comma as radix separator (it can be customized, see next). It either starts with 1 or with 0,. It always ends with a 1. No 1 is repeated.

The arguments are x -expanded, if you need them to be expressions you must wrap them using \xinteval .

A little stress-test:

```
\begin{multicols}{2}\def\PhiBasePhiSep{\mathord{,}}
```

```
\xintFor* #1 in {\xintSeq[-1]{20}\{-21\}}\do{%
```

```
  $\phi^{\#1}=\PhiBasePhi{\{\ZeckTheFN{\#1-1}\}}%
```

```
          {\ZeckTheFN{\#1}}\}_\phi$\par
```

```
}
```

```
\end{multicols}
```


3. The core package features

$\phi^{20} = 10000000000000000000\phi$	$\phi^{-1} = 0,1\phi$
$\phi^{19} = 10000000000000000000\phi$	$\phi^{-2} = 0,01\phi$
$\phi^{18} = 10000000000000000000\phi$	$\phi^{-3} = 0,001\phi$
$\phi^{17} = 10000000000000000000\phi$	$\phi^{-4} = 0,0001\phi$
$\phi^{16} = 10000000000000000000\phi$	$\phi^{-5} = 0,00001\phi$
$\phi^{15} = 10000000000000000000\phi$	$\phi^{-6} = 0,000001\phi$
$\phi^{14} = 10000000000000000000\phi$	$\phi^{-7} = 0,0000001\phi$
$\phi^{13} = 10000000000000000000\phi$	$\phi^{-8} = 0,00000001\phi$
$\phi^{12} = 10000000000000000000\phi$	$\phi^{-9} = 0,000000001\phi$
$\phi^{11} = 100000000000\phi$	$\phi^{-10} = 0,0000000001\phi$
$\phi^{10} = 10000000000\phi$	$\phi^{-11} = 0,00000000001\phi$
$\phi^9 = 1000000000\phi$	$\phi^{-12} = 0,000000000001\phi$
$\phi^8 = 100000000\phi$	$\phi^{-13} = 0,0000000000001\phi$
$\phi^7 = 10000000\phi$	$\phi^{-14} = 0,000000000000001\phi$
$\phi^6 = 1000000\phi$	$\phi^{-15} = 0,0000000000000001\phi$
$\phi^5 = 100000\phi$	$\phi^{-16} = 0,00000000000000001\phi$
$\phi^4 = 10000\phi$	$\phi^{-17} = 0,000000000000000001\phi$
$\phi^3 = 1000\phi$	$\phi^{-18} = 0,0000000000000000001\phi$
$\phi^2 = 100\phi$	$\phi^{-19} = 0,00000000000000000001\phi$
$\phi^1 = 10\phi$	$\phi^{-20} = 0,000000000000000000001\phi$
$\phi^0 = 1\phi$	$\phi^{-21} = 0,0000000000000000000001\phi$

The radix separator is customizable as `\PhiBasePhiSep`. If using the macro inside math mode you will probably want to redefine the default `,` to be `\mathord{\,}`.

TeX-nical note: It is recommended to use `\RenewDocumentCommand` so that independently of the new definition, it will not break in the expansion context triggered by `\PhiBasePhi`.

```
% or \protected\def if not using LaTeX
\RenewDocumentCommand\PhiBasePhiSep{}{\mathord{\mathcolor{blue}{,}}}}
\begin{gather*}
\XintFor #1 in {1, 10, 100, 1000, 10000, 100000, 1000000}\do{%
#1 = \PhiBasePhi{#1}_\phi \XintifForLast{}{\\\}
}
\end{gather*}
```

$1 = 1\phi$
$10 = 10100,0101\phi$
$100 = 1001001010,0001001001\phi$
$1000 = 100010010001000,10001001010001\phi$
$10000 = 10000010010000010000,00010000001000101001\phi$
$100000 = 101010001010100000100000,101000101000000010000001\phi$
$1000000 = 10100000100010010000100010001,0001000010100101000100000101\phi$

3. The core package features

Tip

As mentioned elsewhere, \TeX and \LaTeX will not per default split long sequences of zeros and ones at ends of lines. See `xint` or `bnumexpr` documentations for a `\printnumber` macro able to add linebreaks. Using such an auxiliary (a bit refined) we can for example obtain this:

```
$10^{50}-10^{50}\phi = {}$\printnumber{%
  \PhiBasePhi{{\xinteval{10^50}}{\xinteval{-10^50}}}{$_\phi$.
10^{50} - 10^{50}\phi = -10000101000001001000010100001000000100101000100010010010
000000010001000100010001010000001001001010000010000001010100010010010002
101000010101010010101010000010101010010010101000000101010001010100002
100000010000010100101010001000001010100100101010,00101001001010101002
00010001010010000001010010000001010000000100000010000001010010010101012
01000000101010010000000001000101000010001000000100000100000100100100102
101000001000100010001001000000001001010001000100100100100000010100000102
010100000100101001\phi.
```

The radix separator is somewhere in the middle.

\TeX -nical note: Maybe this `10^{50}` gives opportunity to stress the following: in `\xinteval`, braces `{...}` are removed, so for example `2^{10-1}` is same as `2^10-1` and not at all `2^{(10-1)}`. It is easy to forget this when doing both \TeX typesetting and `\xinteval` calculations at the same time. By the way, `2^-50` is accepted syntax in `\xinteval`, but as here we are using only `\xinteval`, negative exponents are a no-go anyhow.

3.5.3. `\PhiXfromExponents`

This computes a ϕ -integer from an arbitrary list of (comma separated) exponents, not necessarily ordered. The output `{a}{b}` is not destined for direct typesetting, one needs for this to wrap usage of the macro inside of `\PhiTyp\esetX`.

The list input is allowed to be empty. A period upfront the input signals to change the sign of the output to its opposite.

\TeX -nical note: When using the interactive interface, such a leading period in the list of exponents will be produced on output from an `a+b phi` if `a + b\phi < 0`, but when converting in the other direction, from a list of exponents to some `a+b phi`, a leading period will cause the first exponent to be replaced with zero, if it is non-negative, and will cause a crash if the first listed exponent is negative.

Contiguous commas (or commas separated only by space characters) act as a single one, a final comma is tolerated. A new `f`-expansion is done at each item, they can be (`f`-expandable) macros.

```
$_\PhiTypesetX{\PhiXfromExponents{}}$\newline
$_\PhiTypesetX{\PhiXfromExponents{100, 49}}$\newline
$_\PhiTypesetX{\PhiXfromExponents{15, 13, 10, 5, 3, 1, -6, -11, -16}}$\newline
$_\PhiTypesetX{\PhiXfromExponents{.16, 14, 11, 6, 4, 2, -5, -10, -15}}$
0
218922995839362696002 + 354224848187040657124\phi
2025
-2025\phi
```

3. The core package features

For these next two examples:

```
\PhiTypesetX{\PhiXfromExponents{\PhiExponents{1000}}}\newline
\PhiTypesetX{\PhiXfromExponents{\PhiExponents{{1000}{-1000}}}}$
```

We have to be careful that we previously customized `\PhiExponentsSep` like this:

```
\renewcommand\PhiExponentsSep
  {\allowbreak\hskip0pt plus 1pt\relax}
```

But this will break `\PhiXfromExponents` because it really needs its argument, after expansion, to be a genuine comma separated list (possibly with extra spaces, they do not matter). So we now reset `\PhiExponentsSep` to its default, and we can execute successfully the next instructions confirming this package is excellent at doing nothing:

```
\renewcommand\PhiExponentsSep{,}%
\PhiTypesetX{\PhiXfromExponents{\PhiExponents{1000}}}\newline
\PhiTypesetX{\PhiXfromExponents{\PhiExponents{{1000}{-1000}}}}$
1000
1000 - 1000φ
```

Emulation inside `\xinteval`

There is no associated `\xinteval` function but the functionality is a one-(or-two)-liner in its syntax:

```
\xinteval{[add(fib(i-1), i=100, 50, -40, -80),
          add(fib(i), i=100, 50, -40, -80)]}
[218960884904872635122, 354201431463397382260]
```

Compare with:

```
\PhiTypesetX{\PhiXfromExponents{100, 50, -40, -80}}$
218960884904872635122 + 354201431463397382260φ
```

It is even a one-or-two-liner to define a function all by oneself!

```
\xintdeffunc ABfromlist(x):=
  [add(fib(i-1),i=*x), add(fib(i),i=*x)];
\xinteval{ABfromlist(phiexponents(10^30))}
[10000000000000000000000000000000, 0]
```

3.5.4. `\PhiXfromBasePhi`

This computes an element from $Z[\phi]$ from a Bergman ϕ -representation. The input is allowed to be empty. If it contains a comma, that comma must be preceded by at least one digit. It is allowed for 1's to be consecutive. A leading minus sign is allowed.

The output `{a}{b}` is not destined for direct typesetting one needs to wrap it inside of `\PhiTypesetX`.

```
\edef\x{\PhiXfromBasePhi{,}, \PhiXfromBasePhi{0}, \PhiXfromBasePhi{-0}}
\meaning\x\newline
\PhiTypesetX{\PhiXfromBasePhi{10,01}}\newline
\PhiTypesetX{\PhiXfromBasePhi{101000100010,001000100001}}\newline
\PhiTypesetX{\PhiXfromBasePhi{-101000100010,0010001000011}}$
macro:->{0}{0}, {0}{0}, {0}{0}
```

2

288
89 - 233φ

3.6. Typesetting

3.6.1. `\ZeckPrintIndexedSum`

This is a typesetting utility which produces (expandably), by default, `F_a` ↵
`+ F_{a'} + ...` from `a, a', ...`.

```

\ZeckPrintIndexedSum{\ZeckIndices{10000000000000000000}}$.

```

```

F92 + F89 + F87 + F64 + F62 + F57 + F54 + F51 + F48 + F45 + F43 + F41 + F38 + F35 + F32 + F30 +
F27 + F22 + F20 + F16 + F14 + F9 + F7.

```

The `+` is injected by `\ZeckPrintIndexedSumSep` whose default definition is:
`\def\ZeckPrintIndexedSumSep{+\allowbreak}`

Each index from the input list is given as argument to `\ZeckPrintOne` whose
default definition requires math mode:

```

\def\ZeckPrintOne#1{F_{#1}}

```

If one wants explicit Fibonacci numbers, one can do this:

```

\def\ZeckPrintOne{\ZeckTheFN}

```

```

\ZeckPrintIndexedSum{\ZeckIndices{10000000000000000000}}$.

```

```

7540113804746346429+1779979416004714189+679891637638612258+10610209857723+
4052739537881+365435296162+86267571272+20365011074+4807526976+1134903170+
433494437 + 165580141 + 39088169 + 9227465 + 2178309 + 832040 + 196418 + 17711 +
6765 + 987 + 377 + 34 + 13.

```

However, as one can see above and was already mentioned, \TeX and \LaTeX do not
know out-of-the-box to split strings of digits at line endings. Hence the
first two lines are squeezed, but still overflows, which is not pleasing.

With the help of a `xinttools` utility we can redefine `\ZeckPrintOne` to inject
breakpoints in-between consecutive digits:

```

\renewcommand\ZeckPrintOne[1]

```

```

  {\xintListWithSep{\allowbreak}{\ZeckTheFN{#1}}}

```

```

\ZeckPrintIndexedSum{\ZeckIndices{10000000000000000000}}$.

```

```

7540113804746346429 + 1779979416004714189 + 679891637638612258 + 10610209857
723 + 4052739537881 + 365435296162 + 86267571272 + 20365011074 + 4807526976 + 1
134903170 + 433494437 + 165580141 + 39088169 + 9227465 + 2178309 + 832040 + 19641
8 + 17711 + 6765 + 987 + 377 + 34 + 13.

```

Expert \LaTeX users will know how to achieve a result such as this one, which
pleasantly decorate the linebreaks:

```

7540113804746346429 + 1779979416004714189 + 679891637638612258 + 1061020985↵
7723 + 4052739537881 + 365435296162 + 86267571272 + 20365011074 + 4807526976 + ↵
1134903170 + 433494437 + 165580141 + 39088169 + 9227465 + 2178309 + 832040 + 1964↵
18 + 17711 + 6765 + 987 + 377 + 34 + 13.

```

3.6.2. `\PhiPrintIndexedSum`

It is actually a clone of `\ZeckPrintIndexedSum` which only differs from it via
separate configuration macros:

```

\def\PhiPrintIndexedSumSep{+\allowbreak}% same as \ZeckPrintIndexedSumSep

```

```

\def\PhiPrintOne#1{\phi^{#1}}% powers of phi rather than Fibonacci

```

4. Use as a \LaTeX package

`% numbers.`

As for `\ZeckPrintIndexedSum` the default configuration is thus math mode only.
`\[2025 = \PhiPrintIndexedSum{\PhiExponents{2025}}\]`

$$2025 = \phi^{15} + \phi^{13} + \phi^{10} + \phi^5 + \phi^3 + \phi^1 + \phi^{-6} + \phi^{-11} + \phi^{-16}$$

It is important in the above example that `\PhiExponentsSep` has its default definition because `\PhiPrintIndexedSum` needs to see real commas.

TODO?

Maybe let it recognize an upfront period (as produced by `\PhiExponents` if $x = a + b\phi < 0$) in the input, and then use minus signs in the output?

3.6.3. `\PhiTypesetX`

This is supposed to receive as (single) argument two braced relative integers `{a}{b}`.

The default output is math-mode only, as it is of the type $a + b\phi$, with simplifications for zero or negative coefficients. This is decided by the two-arguments macro `\PhiTypesetXPrint` which can be redefined.

For examples, see the documentation of `\PhiXfromExponents` and `\PhiXfromBasePhi`.

4. Use as a \LaTeX package

As expected, add to the preamble:

```
\usepackage{zeckendorf}
```

There are no options.

5. Use with Plain ϵ - \TeX

You will need to input the core code using:

```
\input zeckendorfcore.tex
```

IMPORTANT

After this `\input`, the catcode regimen is a specific one (for example `_`, `:`, and `^` all have catcode letter). So, you will probably want to emit `\ZECKrestorecatcodes` immediately after this import, it will reset all modified catcodes to their values as prior to the import.

Then you can use the exact same interface as described in the previous section.

6. Changes

0.9c (2025/10/17) This adds many new features and has some breaking changes due to renamings, not listed here.

- It is not `\xintiieval` but `\xinteval`'s syntax which is now extended.
- Variables `phi` and `psi` are defined and one can do algebra with `+`, `-`, `*`, and `^` on them in $\mathbb{Q}(\phi)$.
- The Bergman ϕ -representation is added for elements of $\mathbb{Z}[\phi]$ in particular for integers.
- The `$` character doing the Knuth Fibonacci multiplication on positive integers now uses the (more efficient) Arnoux formula. The `$$` computes out of deference according to the Knuth formula.
- The PDF documentation section on the mathematical background has been extended and includes bibliographical references.
- The interactive interface integrates all novelties.

0.9b (2025/10/07)

Bug fixes:

- The instructions for interactive use mentioned `1e100` as possible input, but the author had forgotten that this syntax is not legitimate in `\xintiieval` (for example `1+1e10` crashes immediately). *This remark is obsolete as of 0.9c because the interactive mode now uses `\xinteval`, not `\xintiieva`.*
- The code tries at some locations to be compatible with `xintexpr` versions earlier than `1.4n`. But these versions did not load `xintbinhex` automatically and the needed `\RequirePackage` or `\input` for Plain \TeX was lacking from the `zeckendorf` code.

Other changes: In the interactive interface, the input may now start with an `\xintiieval` function such as `binomial` whose first letter coincides with one of the letter commands without it being needed to for example add some `\empty` control sequence first. On the other hand, it was possible to use the full command names, now only their first letters (lower or uppercase) are recognized as such.

0.9alpha (2025/10/06) Initial release.

7. License

Copyright (c) 2025 Jean-François Burnol

| This Work may be distributed and/or modified under the
| conditions of the LaTeX Project Public License 1.3c.
| This version of this license is in

> <<http://www.latex-project.org/lppl/lppl-1-3c.txt>>

| and version 1.3 or later is part of all distributions of
| LaTeX version 2005/12/01 or later.

This Work has the LPPL maintenance status "author-maintained".

The Author and Maintainer of this Work is Jean-François Burnol.

This Work consists of the main source file and its derived files

zeckendorf.dtx,
zeckendorfc core.tex, zeckendorf.tex, zeckendorf.sty,
README.md, zeckendorf-doc.tex, zeckendorf-doc.pdf

Part II.

Commented source code

Core code	8, p. 24
Interactive code	9, p. 54
L ^A T _E X code	10, p. 59

8. Core code

Loading <code>xintexpr</code> and setting catcodes	8.1, p. 24
Fibonacci numbers <code>\ZeckTheFN</code> , <code>\ZeckTheFSeq</code> .	8.2, p. 25
Zeckendorf representation <code>\ZeckNearIndex</code> , <code>\ZeckMaxK</code> , <code>\ZeckIndices</code> , <code>\ZeckBList</code> , <code>\ZeckWord</code> , <code>\ZeckNFromIndices</code> , <code>\ZeckNfromWord</code> .	8.3, p. 28
Bergman representation <code>\PhiIISign_ab</code> , <code>\PhiMaxE</code> , <code>\PhiBList</code> , <code>\PhiExponents</code> , <code>\PhiBasePhi</code> , <code>\PhiXfromExponents</code> , <code>\PhiXfromBasePhi</code> .	8.4, p. 33
The Knuth Fibonacci multiplication <code>\ZeckKMul</code> : Knuth definition, <code>\ZeckAMul</code> : Arnoux formula, <code>\ZeckB</code> : B operator.	8.5, p. 39
Typesetting <code>\ZeckPrintIndexedSum</code> , <code>\PhiPrintIndexedSum</code> , <code>\PhiTypesetX</code> .	8.6, p. 41
Extensions of the <code>\xinteval</code> syntax Provisory ad hoc support for adding <code>xintexpr</code> operators, The <code>\$</code> and <code>\$\$</code> as infix operator for the Knuth multiplication, Support macros for $\mathbb{Q}(\phi)$ algebra, Overloading <code>+</code> , <code>-</code> , <code>*</code> , <code>^</code> , and <code>**</code> , Variables and functions for <code>\xinteval</code> .	8.7, p. 42

A general remark is that expandable macros (usually) *f*-expand their arguments, and most are *f*-expandable. This *f*-expandability is achieved via `\expanded` triggers, diverging a bit from the overall style of the `xint` codebase (which predates availability of `\expanded`).

Extracts to `zeckendorfc core.tex`.

8.1. Loading `xintexpr` and setting catcodes

`0.9alpha` had a left-over `\noexpand` before the `\endinput` due to an oversight after replacing an `\edef` by a `\def`, embarrassing but unimportant. Also it made at a few places some effort to be compatible with older `xint`, but did not explicitly require `xintbinhex`, which is automatically loaded only since `xintexpr 1.4n`.

```

1 \input xintexpr.sty
2 \input xintbinhex.sty
3 \wlog{Package: zeckendorfc core 2025/10/17 v0.9c (JFB)}%
4 \edef\ZECKrestorecatcodes{\XINTrestorecatcodes}%
5 \def\ZECKrestorecatcodesendinput{\ZECKrestorecatcodes\endinput}%
6 \XINTsetcatcodes%
```


8. Core code

Small helpers related to `\expanded`-based methods. But the package only has a few macros and these helpers are used only once or twice, some macros needing their own terminators due to various optimizations in the code organization.

`\xintthebareiieval` incorporates a `\romannumeral0` we want the once-expanded variant without it for optimization. And also to avoid a `\csname...\endcsname` it uses which was added at `xintexpr 1.4o` for Babel reasons, but this is not a public macro and I think its internal usages are immune to that, but this is a remark in passing and I have other things to think about at this time.

```
7 \def\zeck_abort#1\xint:{{}}%
8 \def\zeck_done#1\xint:{\iffalse{\fi}}%
9 \def\zeckthebareiievalo {%
10   \expandafter\xint_stop_atfirstofone\romannumeral0\xintbareiieval
11 }%
```

8.2. Fibonacci numbers

8.2.1. `\ZeckTheFN`

The multiplicative algorithm is as in the `bnumexpr` manual (at 1.7b), but termination is different and simply leaves `F_n;F_{n-1}`; in input stream (in a form requiring `\xintthe`). We do not use `\csname...\endcsname` branching here, for variety.

`\Zeck@FPair` and `\Zeck@@FPair` are not public interface. The former is a wrapper of the latter to handle negative or zero argument.

The public `\ZeckTheFN` uses the `\Zeck@FPair` which accepts a negative or zero argument. The non public `\Zeck@@FN` uses `\Zeck@@FPair` and is thus limited to positive argument, also it remains in `\xintexpr` encapsulated format requiring `\xintthe` for explicit digits.

Macros are constructed to be *f*-expandable.

```
12 \def\Zeck@FPair#1{\expandafter\zeck@fpair\the\numexpr #1.}%
13 \def\zeck@fpair #1{%
14   \xint_UDzerominusfork
15     #1-\zeck@fpair_n
16     0#1\zeck@fpair_n
17     0-\zeck@fpair_p
18   \krof #1%
19 }%
20 \def\zeck@fpair_p #1.{\Zeck@@FPair{#1}}%
21 \def\zeck@fpair_n #1.{%
22   \ifodd#1 \expandafter\zeck@fpair_ei\else\expandafter\zeck@fpair_eii\fi
23   \romannumeral`&&\Zeck@@FPair{1-#1}}%
24 }%
25 \def\zeck@fpair_ei{\expandafter\zeck@fpair_fi}%
26 \def\zeck@fpair_eii{\expandafter\zeck@fpair_fii}%
27 \def\zeck@fpair_fi#1;#2;{%
28   \romannumeral0\xintiipro #2\expandafter\relax\expandafter;%
29   \romannumeral0\xintiipro -#1\relax;%
30 }%
31 \def\zeck@fpair_fii#1;#2;{%
32   \romannumeral0\xintiipro -#2\expandafter\relax\expandafter;%
33   #1;%
```

8. Core code

```

34 }%
35 \def\Zeck@@FPair#1{%
36   \expandafter\zeck@@fpair@start
37   \romannumeral0\xintdectobin{\the\numexpr#1\relax};%
38 }%

```

Inlining here at start the `\zeck@@fpair@again` because we don't want the `\expandafter`'s here, due to current `\XINTfstop` definition.

```

39 \def\zeck@@fpair@start #1{%
40   \xint_gob_til_sc#1\zeck@@fpair@done;%
41   \xint_UDonezerofork
42     #1\zeck@@fpair@zero
43     10\zeck@@fpair@one
44   \krof
45   \XINTfstop\xINTiiexprprint.{1};\XINTfstop\xINTiiexprprint.{0};%
46 }%
47 \def\zeck@@fpair@zero #1;#2;#3{%
48   \zeck@@fpair@again#3%
49   \romannumeral0\xintiipro (#1+2*#2)*#1\expandafter\relax\expandafter;%
50   \romannumeral0\xintiipro #1*#1+#2*#2\relax;%
51 }%
52 \def\zeck@@fpair@one #1;#2;#3{%
53   \zeck@@fpair@again#3%
54   \romannumeral0\xintiipro 2*(#1+#2)*#1+#2*#2\expandafter\relax\expandafter;%
55   \romannumeral0\xintiipro (#1+2*#2)*#1\relax;%
56 }%
57 \def\zeck@@fpair@again#1{%
58   \xint_gob_til_sc#1\zeck@@fpair@done;%
59   \xint_UDonezerofork
60     #1{\expandafter\zeck@@fpair@zero}%
61     10{\expandafter\zeck@@fpair@one}%
62   \krof
63 }%

```

Srrangely, no `\xint_gob_til_krof`.

```
64 \def\zeck@@fpair@done#1\krof{}%
```

For individual Fibonacci numbers, we have non public `\Zeck@@FN` which only works on positive input and has an output needing `\xintthe`. We also have non-public `\Zeck@TheFN` and `\Zeck@TheFNminusOne` which accept negative input, and whose output is with explicit digits (and braced).

The problem with `\xintthe` is that it will trigger the `\xintiiproPrintOne` which is (sadly) user customizable, and also adds overhead, we should not use internally. We define `\zeck@the`. Contrarily to `\xintthe` it needs pre-expansion of what comes next, and it is important to keep in mind its output is braced.

```

65 \def\Zeck@@FN{\expandafter\zeck@@fn\romannumeral`&&\Zeck@@FPair}%
66 \def\zeck@@fn#1;#2;{#1}%
67 \def\zeck@@fnminusone#1;#2;{#2}%
68 \def\Zeck@TheFN{%
69   \xintthe\expandafter\zeck@@fn\romannumeral`&&\Zeck@FPair
70 }%
71 \def\zeck@the #1.#2{#2}%
72 \def\Zeck@TheFN{%

```

8. Core code

```
73 \expandafter\zeck@the\romannumeral`&&@%
74 \expandafter\zeck@fn\romannumeral`&&\Zeck@FPair
75 }%
76 \def\Zeck@TheFNminusOne{%
77 \expandafter\zeck@the\romannumeral`&&@%
78 \expandafter\zeck@fnminusone\romannumeral`&&\Zeck@FPair
79 }%
```

8.2.2. \ZeckTheFSeq

The computation of stretches of Fibonacci numbers is not needed for the package, but is provided for user convenience. This is lifted from the development version of the `\xintname` user manual, which refactored a bit the code which has been there for over ten years.

Here we also handle negative arguments but still require the second argument to be larger (more positive) than the first.

```
80 \def\ZeckTheFSeq#1#2{%#1=starting index, #2>#1=ending index
81 \expanded\bgroup\expandafter\ZeckTheF@Seq
82 \the\numexpr #1\expandafter.\the\numexpr #2.%
83 }%
```

The `#1+1` is because `\Zeck@FPair{N}` expands to `F_{N};F_{N-1}`; , so here we will have `F_{A+1},F_{A}`; as starting point. We want up to `F_B`. If `B=A+1` there will be nothing to do.

```
84 \def\ZeckTheF@Seq #1.#2.{%
85 \expandafter\ZeckTheF@Seq@loop
86 \the\numexpr #2-#1-1\expandafter.\romannumeral0\Zeck@FPair{#1+1}%
87 }%
```

Now leave in stream one coefficient, test if we have reached B and until then apply standard Fibonacci recursion. We insert `\xintthe` although not needed for typesetting but this is useful for matters of defining an associated `fibseq()` function.

Edit: actually I insert `\zeck@the` because `\xintthe` expands (with lots of overhead due to handling possibility of deeply nested leaves) `\xintiexprPrintOne` which is (regrettably perhaps) user customizable and (very theoretically) could cause problems if we want to use the `\ZeckTheFSeq` for `fibseq()` support.

This means though that at user level the macro will not obey a custom `\xintiexprPrintOne` (but, `fibseq()` from an `\xinteval` will, because this is done by `\xinteval` itself).

MEMO: `\zeck@the` will keep one level of braces.

```
88 \def\ZeckTheF@Seq@loop #1.#2;#3;{% standard Fibonacci recursion
89 \zeck@the#3\ifnum #1=\z@ \expandafter\ZeckTheF@Seq@end\fi
90 \expandafter\ZeckTheF@Seq@loop
91 \the\numexpr #1-1\expandafter.%
92 \romannumeral0\xintiexpro #2+#3\relax;#2;%
93 }%
94 \def\ZeckTheF@Seq@end#1;#2;{\zeck@the#2\iffalse{\fi}}%
```

8.3. Zeckendorf representation

8.3.1. `\ZeckNearIndex`, `\ZeckMaxK`

If the ratio of logarithms was the exact mathematical value it would be certain (via rough estimates valid at least for say $x \geq 10$, and even smaller, but anyhow we can check manually it does work) that its integer rounding gives an integer K such that either K or $K-1$ is the largest index J with $F_J \leq x$. But the computation is done with only about 8 or 9 digits of precision. So certainly this assumption fails for x having more than one hundred million decimal digits, and would become a bit risky with an input having ten million digits.

But this is way beyond the reasonable range for usage of the package, as anyhow `xint` can handle multiplications only with operands of about up to 13000 digits, so there is no worry.

`xintfrac`'s `\xintiRound{0}` is guaranteed to round correctly the input it has been given. This input is some approximation to an exact theoretical value involving ratio of logarithms (and square root of 5). Prior to rounding the computed numerical approximation, we are close to the exact theoretical value, where ``close'' means we expect to have about 8 leading digits in common (and we have already limited our scope so that we are talking about a value less than 10000 at any rate). If the computed rounding differs from the exact rounding of the exact value it must be that argument x is about mid-way (in log scale) between two consecutive Fibonacci numbers. The conclusion is that the integer we obtain after rounding can not be anything else than either J or $J+1$.

The argument is more subtle than it looks. The conclusion is important to us as it means we do not have to add extraneous checks involving computation of one or more additional Fibonacci numbers.

The formula with macros was obtained via an `\xintdeffloatfunc` and `\xintverbosetrue` after having set `\xintDigits*` to 8, and then we optimized a bit manually. The advantage here is that we don't have to set ourself `\xintDigits` and later restore it.

We can not use (except if only caring about interactive sessions where we control entirely the whole environment) `\XINTinFloatDiv` or `\XINTinFloatMul` if we don't set `\xintDigits` (which is user customizable) because they hardcode usage of `\XINTdigits`.

For the exact same reason 0.9b adds `_raw` postfix which had been forgotten at 0.9alpha ha. Indeed `\PoorManLogBaseTen` (without `_raw`) does an ``in-float'' conversion of its output, and this uses the current `\XINTdigits` and adds unnecessary overhead. The fix at 0.9b of this 0.9alpha oversight brought an efficiency gain of about 5% for this macro for inputs of 50 digits.

```

95 \def\ZeckNearIndex#1{\xintiRound{0}{%
96   \xintFloatDiv[8]{\PoorManLogBaseTen_raw{\xintFloatMul[8]{2236068[-6]}{#1}}}%
97   {20898764[-8]}}%
98   }%
99 }%
```

Now we compute the actual maximal index. This macro is only for user interface, because when obtaining the Zeckendorf representation via the greedy algorithm, we will want for efficiency to not discard the computed pair of Fibonacci numbers, but proceed using it.

```

100 \def\ZeckMaxK{\expanded\zeckmaxk}%
101 \def\zeckmaxk#1{\expandafter\zeckmaxk_fork\romannumeral`&&@#1\xint:}%
102 \def\zeckmaxk_fork#1{%
```

8. Core code

```
103 \xint_UDzerominusfork
104 #1-\zeck_abort
105 0#1\zeck_abort
106 0-{\zeckmaxk_a#1}%
107 \krof
108 }%
109 \def\zeckmaxk_a #1\xint:{%
110 \expandafter\zeckmaxk_b
111 \the\numexpr\ZeckNearIndex{#1}\xint:#1\xint:
112 }%
113 \def\zeckmaxk_b #1\xint:{%
114 \expandafter\zeckmaxk_c
115 \romannumeral`&&\Zeck@@FPair{#1}#1\xint:
116 }%
117 \def\zeckmaxk_c #1;#2;#3\xint:#4\xint:{%
118 \expandafter\xintiifGt\zeck@the#1{#4}%
119 {\expandafter}\the\numexpr#3-1\relax}%
120 {#3}%
121 }%
```

8.3.2. \ZeckIndices

As explained at start of code comments, I decided when packaging the whole thing to make macros *f*-expandable via `\expanded-trigger`, not `\romannumeral`.

This and other macros start by computing the max index. It then subtracts the Fibonacci number from the input and loops.

```
122 \def\ZeckIndices{\expanded\zeckindices}%
123 \let\ZeckZeck\ZeckIndices
124 \def\zeckindices#1{\expandafter\zeckindices_fork\romannumeral`&&#1\xint:}%
125 \def\zeckindices_fork#1{%
126 \xint_UDzerominusfork
127 #1-\zeck_abort
128 0#1\zeck_abort
129 0-{\bgroup\zeckindices_a#1}%
130 \krof
131 }%
132 \def\zeckindices_a #1\xint:{%
133 \expandafter\zeckindices_b
134 \the\numexpr\ZeckNearIndex{#1}\xint:#1\xint:
135 }%
136 \def\zeckindices_b #1\xint:{%
137 \expandafter\zeckindices_c
138 \romannumeral`&&\Zeck@@FPair{#1}#1\xint:
139 }%
140 \def\zeckindices_c #1;#2;#3\xint:#4\xint:{%
141 \expandafter\xintiifGt\zeck@the#1{#4}\zeckindices_A\zeckindices_B
142 #1;#2;#3\xint:#4\xint:
143 }%
```

Original version of the code used (here and at some other similar locations) `\xinttheiiexpr`. But the latter uses `\xintiexprPrintOne` which has been documented as user-level customizable. If a custom `\xintiexprPrintOne` prints *Z* or some other symbol in case

8. Core code

of the zero value, our code will crash. And we would like to avoid anyhow the inherent overhead in this rather complex mechanism of `\xintiexprPrintOne` (see `xintexpr` source code). We added `\zeck@the` but it still needs one more brace stripping step. Finally we drop using `\xintbareieval` or `\xintthebareieval` and do the subtraction using `\xint\iiSub` for efficiency gain. We could do it in wrong order which would have advantage to not have to grab `#2` in `\zeckindices_loop`. But subtraction has then some overhead for long numbers.

```
144 \def\zeckindices_A#1;#2;#3\xint:%
145   \the\numexpr#3-1\relax
146   \expandafter\zeckindices_loop\zeck@the#2%
147 }%
148 \def\zeckindices_B#1;#2;#3\xint:%
149   #3%
150   \expandafter\zeckindices_loop\zeck@the#1%
151 }%
152 \def\zeckindices_loop #1#2\xint:%
153   \expandafter\zeckindices_loop_i
154   \romannumeral0\xintiisub{#2}{#1}\xint:
155 }%
156 \def\zeckindices_loop_i#1{%
157   \xint_UDzerofork#1\zeck_done 0{, \zeckindices_a#1}\krof
158 }%
```

8.3.3. `\ZeckBList`

This is the variant which produces the results as a sequence of braced indices. Useful as support for a `zeckindices()` function.

Originally in `xint`, `xinttools`, the term ```list''` is used for braced items. In the user manual of this package I have been using ```list''` more colloquially for comma separated values. Here I stick with `xint` conventions but use `BList` (short for ```list of Braced items''`) and not only ```List''` in the name.

```
159 \def\ZeckBList{\expanded\zeckblist}%
160 \def\zeckblist#1{\expandafter\zeckblist_fork\romannumeral`&&@#1\xint:%}
161 \def\zeckblist_fork#1{%
162   \xint_UDzerominusfork
163   #1-\zeck_abort
164   0#1\zeck_abort
165   0-{\bgroup\zeckblist_a#1}%
166   \krof
167 }%
168 \def\zeckblist_a #1\xint:%
169   \expandafter\zeckblist_b
170   \the\numexpr\ZeckNearIndex{#1}\xint:#1\xint:
171 }%
172 \def\zeckblist_b #1\xint:%
173   \expandafter\zeckblist_c
174   \romannumeral`&&\Zeck@@FPair{#1}#1\xint:
175 }%
176 \def\zeckblist_c #1;#2;#3\xint:#4\xint:%
177   \expandafter\xintiifGt\zeck@the#1{#4}\zeckblist_A\zeckblist_B
```

8. Core code

```
178 #1;#2;#3\xint:#4\xint:
179 }%
180 \def\zeckblist_A#1;#2;#3\xint:%
181   {\the\numexpr#3-1\relax}%
182   \expandafter\zeckblist_loop\zeck@the#2%
183 }%
184 \def\zeckblist_B#1;#2;#3\xint:%
185   {#3}%
186   \expandafter\zeckblist_loop\zeck@the#1%
187 }%
188 \def\zeckblist_loop#1#2\xint:%
189   \expandafter\zeckblist_loop_i
190   \romannumeral0\xintiisub{#2}{#1}\xint:
191 }%
192 \def\zeckblist_loop_i#1{\xint_UDzerofork#1\zeck_done 0{\zeckblist_a#1}\krof}%

```

8.3.4. \ZeckWord

This is slightly more complicated than \ZeckIndices and \ZeckBList because we have to keep track of the previous index to know how many zeros to inject.

```
193 \def\ZeckWord{\expanded\zeckword}%
194 \def\zeckword#1{\expandafter\zeckword_fork\romannumeral`&&@#1\xint:%
195 \def\zeckword_fork#1{%
196   \xint_UDzerominusfork
197   #1-\zeck_abort
198   0#1\zeck_abort
199   0-{\bgroup\zeckword_a#1}%
200   \krof
201 }%
202 \def\zeckword_a #1\xint:%
203   \expandafter\zeckword_b\the\numexpr\ZeckNearIndex{#1}\xint:
204   #1\xint:
205 }%
206 \def\zeckword_b #1\xint:%
207   \expandafter\zeckword_c\romannumeral`&&\Zeck@FPair{#1}#1\xint:
208 }%
209 \def\zeckword_c #1;#2;#3\xint:#4\xint:%
210   \expandafter\xintiifGt\zeck@the#1{#4}\zeckword_A\zeckword_B
211   #1;#2;#3\xint:#4\xint:
212 }%
213 \def\zeckword_A#1;#2;#3\xint:#4\xint:%
214   \expandafter\zeckword_d
215   \romannumeral0\xintiisub{#4}%
216   {\expandafter\xint_firstofone\zeck@the#2}\xint:
217   \the\numexpr#3-1.%
218 }%
219 \def\zeckword_B#1;#2;#3\xint:#4\xint:%
220   \expandafter\zeckword_d
221   \romannumeral0\xintiisub{#4}%
222   {\expandafter\xint_firstofone\zeck@the#1}\xint:
223   #3.%
224 }%

```

8. Core code

```
225 \def\zeckword_d #1%
226   {\xint_UDzerofork#1\zeckword_done0{1\zeckword_e}\krof #1}%
227 \def\zeckword_done#1\xint:#2.{1\xintReplicate{#2-2}{0}\iffalse{\fi}}%
228 \def\zeckword_e #1\xint:%
229   \expandafter\zeckword_f\the\numexpr\ZeckNearIndex{#1}\xint:
230   #1\xint:
231 }%
232 \def\zeckword_f #1\xint:%
233   \expandafter\zeckword_g\romannumeral`&&\Zeck@FPair{#1}#1\xint:
234 }%
235 \def\zeckword_g #1;#2;#3\xint:#4\xint:%
236   \expandafter\xintiifGt\zeck@the#1{#4}\zeckword_gA\zeckword_gB
237   #1;#2;#3\xint:#4\xint:
238 }%
239 \def\zeckword_gA#1;#2;#3\xint:#4\xint:%
240   \expandafter\zeckword_h
241   \the\numexpr#3-1\expandafter.%
242   \romannumeral0\xintiisub
243   {#4}%
244   {\expandafter\xint_firstofone\zeck@the#2}%
245   \xint:
246 }%
247 \def\zeckword_gB#1;#2;#3\xint:#4\xint:%
248   \expandafter\zeckword_h
249   \the\numexpr#3\expandafter.%
250   \romannumeral0\xintiisub
251   {#4}%
252   {\expandafter\xint_firstofone\zeck@the#1}%
253   \xint:
254 }%
255 \def\zeckword_h #1.#2\xint:#3.{%
256   \xintReplicate{#3-#1-1}{0}%
257   \zeckword_d #2\xint:#1.%
258 }%
```

8.3.5. \ZeckNFromIndices

Spaces before commas are not a problem they disappear in `\numexpr`.

Extraneous commas are skipped, in particular a final comma is allowed.

Each item is *f*-expanded to check not empty, but perhaps we could skip expanding, as they end up in `\numexpr`. Advantage of expansion of each item is that at any location we can generate multiple indices if desired.

```
259 \def\ZeckNFromIndices{\romannumeral0\zecknfromindices}%
260 \def\zecknfromindices{\zeck@applyandiisum\Zeck@TheFN}%
261 \def\zeck@applyandiisum {%
262   \expandafter\xintiisum\expanded\zeck@applytocsv
263 }%
```

Macro `#1` is supposed to output something within braces.

```
264 \def\zeck@applytocsv #1#2{%
265   {\expandafter\zeck@applytocsv_a\expandafter#1%
266     \romannumeral`&&@#2,;}}%
```


8. Core code

```
267 }%
268 \def\zeck@applytocsv_a #1#2{%
269   \if;#2\expandafter\zeck@applytocsv_done\fi
270   \if;#2\expandafter\zeck@applytocsv_skip\fi
271   \zeck@applytocsv_b #1#2%
272 }%
273 \def\zeck@applytocsv_b #1#2,{%
274   #1{#2}%
275   \expandafter\zeck@applytocsv_a\expandafter#1\romannumeral`&&%
276 }%
277 \def\zeck@applytocsv_done#1\zeck@applytocsv_b#2;{%
278 \def\zeck@applytocsv_skip #1#2,{%
279   \expandafter\zeck@applytocsv_a\expandafter#2\romannumeral`&&%
280 }%
```

8.3.6. \ZeckNfromWord

The `\xintreversedigits` will *f*-expand its argument.

```
281 \def\ZeckNfromWord{\romannumeral0\zecknfromword}%
282 \def\zecknfromword#1{%
283   \expandafter\zecknfromword_a\romannumeral0\xintreversedigits{#1};%
284 }%
285 \def\zecknfromword_a{%
286   \expandafter\xintiisum\expanded{{\iffalse}}\fi\zecknfromword_b 2.%
287 }%
288 \def\zecknfromword_b#1.#2{%
289   \if;#2\expandafter\zecknfromword_done\fi
290   \if#21{\expandafter\zeck@the\romannumeral`&&\Zeck@FN{#1}}\fi
291   \expandafter\zecknfromword_b\the\numexpr#1+1.%
292 }%
293 \def\zecknfromword_done#1.{\iffalse{{\fi}}}%
```

8.4. Bergman representation

8.4.1. \PhiIISign_ab

`\PhiIISign_ab` is for use with two arguments already expanded `{a}{b}` and postfixed with `;`, and which are strict integers.

The general macro which accepts both one (unbraced) integer or two (braced) integers is defined later for support of the `phisign()` function.

```
294 \def\PhiIISign_ab {\romannumeral0\phiiisign_ab}%
295 \def\phiiisign_ab #1#2;{%
296   \xintiiifsgn{#1}%
297   {% a < 0
298     \xintiiifSgn{#2}%
299     {-1}%
300     {-1}%
301     {% a < 0, b > 0, return 1 iff a^2+ab<b^2
302       \xintiiifLt{\xintiiMul{#1}{\xintiiAdd{#1}{#2}}{\xintiiSqr{#2}}}%
303       {1}%
304       {-1}%
305     }%
306   }%
```

8. Core code

```

306 }%
307 {\xintiiSgn{#2}}%
308 {% a > 0
309 \xintiiifSgn{#2}%
310     {% a > 0, b < 0, return 1 iff a^2+ab>b^2
311     \xintiiifGt{\xintiiMul{#1}{\xintiiAdd{#1}{#2}}}{\xintiiSqr{#2}}%
312     {1}%
313     {-1}%
314     }%
315     {1}%
316     {1}%
317 }%
318 }%

```

8.4.2. \PhiMaxE

We want the greatest k with $\phi^k \leq a + b\phi$, assuming that the latter is strictly positive.

This macro will not be used directly by `\PhiExponents`, but its helpers will.

We need helpers computing with precautions a ratio of logarithms. The explanations about why this strategy works even with inputs having thousands of digits (in practice hundreds of digits is reasonable range for using `xint` arithmetic) are the same as for the Zeckendorf representation.

These macros need to not make assumptions about `\xintDigits`. Here is the original source as it was used to create the code (not any AI would be able to do that... but `xintexpr` succeeds!). In particular note the impressive nesting of `\xintiiexpr` inside `\xintfloatexpr`. The log output was then edited by hand to not use macros using tacitly `\XINTdigits`, and to reduce to 8 digits of precision as this is enough.

```

\xintverbosetrue
\xintdeffloatvar Phi := (1 + sqrt(5))/2;
\xintdeffloatvar Psi := (1 - sqrt(5))/2;
\xintdeffloatvar logPhi := log10(Phi);% would have been precomputed anyhow
\xintdefiifunc greedyA(a,b):= \xintfloatexpr
    round(log10(a+b*Phi) / logPhi)\relax;
\xintdefiifunc greedyB(a,b):= \xintfloatexpr
    round(log10(\xintiiexpr abs(a*(a+b) -sqr(b))\relax/abs(a+b*Psi))
    / logPhi)\relax;

319 \def\bergman_nearindex_A#1;#2;{%
320 \xintiRound {0}}%
321 \xintFloatDiv[8]{%
322 \PoorManLogBaseTen_raw
323 {\xintFloatAdd[8]{#1}%
324 {\xintFloatMul[8]{#2}{1618034[-6]}}}%
325 }%
326 {20898764[-8]}}%
327 }%
328 }%
329 \def\bergman_nearindex_B#1;#2;{%
330 \xintiRound {0}}%
331 \xintFloatDiv[8]{%
332 \PoorManLogBaseTen_raw
333 {\xintFloatDiv[8]{%

```

8. Core code

```

334     {\xintiiAbs {\xintiiSub
335                 {\xintiiMul {#1}{\xintiiAdd{#1}{#2}}}%
336                 {\xintiiSqr {#2}}}%
337     }%
338     {\xintAbs {\xintFloatAdd[8]
339               {#1}{\xintFloatMul[8]{#2}{-618034[-6]}}}%
340     }%
341 }%
342 }%
343 }%
344 {20898764[-8]}%
345 }%
346 }%
347 \def\PhiMaxE{\the\numexpr\phimaxe}%
348 \def\phimaxe #1{%
349   \expandafter\phimaxe_a\expanded{#1}%
350 }%
351 \def\phimaxe_a #1{\expandafter\phimaxe_b\string#1;}%
352 \catcode`=1 \catcode`=2 \catcode`\{=12 % }
353 \def\phimaxe_b #1[\if#1{\expandafter\phimaxe_X % }
354                 \else\expandafter\phimaxe_N\fi #1]%
355 \catcode`=12 \catcode`=12 \catcode`\{=1 % }
356 \def\phimaxe_N #1;{\phimaxe_ab {#1}{0};}%
357 \def\phimaxe_X #1{\expandafter\phimaxe_ab\expandafter{\iffalse}\fi}%
358 \def\PhiMaxE_ab {\romannumeral0\phimaxe_ab}%
359 \def\phimaxe_ab #1#2;{%
360   \expandafter\phimaxe_i\romannumeral`&&%
361   \ifnum\numexpr\xintiiSgn{#1}*\xintiiSgn{#2}\relax=-1
362     \expandafter\bergman_nearindex_B
363   \else \expandafter\bergman_nearindex_A
364   \fi #1;#2;;#1;#2;%
365 }%
366 \def\phimaxe_i #1;{%
367   \expandafter\phimaxe_j\romannumeral`&&\zeck@fpair #1.#1;%
368 }%
369 \def\phimaxe_j #1;#2;#3;#4;#5;{%
370   #3\ifnum
371     \expandafter\PhiIISign_ab
372     \romannumeral0\zeckthebareiievalo #2-#4\expandafter\relax
373     \romannumeral0\zeckthebareiievalo #1-#5\relax ;%
374     >0
375     -1\fi\relax
376 }%

```

8.4.3. \PhiBList

Will serve (or rather a close derivative) as support for the `phieponents()` function in `\xinteval`, and is used both by `\PhiExponents` and `\PhiBasePhi`.

```

377 \def\PhiBList{\expanded\phiblist}%
378 \def\phiblist #1{%
379   \expandafter\phiblist_a\expanded{#1}%
380 }%

```

8. Core code

```

381 \def\phiblist_a #1{\expandafter\phiblist_b\string#1;}%
382 \catcode`[=1 \catcode`=2 \catcode`\{=12 % }
383 \def\phiblist_b #1[\if#1{\expandafter\phiblist_X % }
384         \else\expandafter\phiblist_N\fi #1]%
385 \catcode`=12 \catcode`=12 \catcode`\{=1 % }
386 \def\phiblist_N #1;{\phiblist_ab {#1}{0};}%
387 \def\phiblist_X #1{\expandafter\phiblist_ab\expandafter{\iffalse}\fi}%
388 \def\PhiBlist_ab {\expanded\phiblist_ab}%
389 \def\phiblist_ab #1#2;{%
390     \ifcase\PhiIISign_ab{#1}{#2}; %
391     0\expandafter\phiblist_stop
392     \or
393     +\expandafter\phiblist_i
394     \else
395     -\expandafter\phiblist_neg
396     \fi
397     #1;#2;%
398 }}%
399 \def\phiblist_stop#1;#2;{%

```

Attention that adding minus signs here would fool `\xintiiSgn` which make no normalization and looks only at first token.

TODO: check if it is more efficient to do `\expanded{\noexpand\foo...}` rather than `\expandafter\foo\expanded{...}`.

```

400 \def\phiblist_neg#1;#2;{%
401     \expandafter\phiblist_i\expanded{\XINT_Opp#1;\XINT_Opp#2};}%
402 }%
403 \def\phiblist_i#1;#2;{%
404     \expandafter\phiblist_j\romannumeral`&&@%
405     \ifnum\numexpr\xintiiSgn{#1}*\xintiiSgn{#2}\relax=-1
406         \expandafter\bergman_nearindex_B
407     \else \expandafter\bergman_nearindex_A
408     \fi #1;#2;;#1;#2;%
409 }%
410 \def\phiblist_j #1;{%
411     \expandafter\phiblist_k\romannumeral`&&\zeck@fpair #1.#1;%
412 }%
413 \def\phiblist_k #1;#2;#3;#4;#5;{%
414     \if1\expandafter\PhiIISign_ab
415         \romannumeral0\zeckthebareiievalo #2-#4\expandafter\relax
416         \romannumeral0\zeckthebareiievalo #1-#5\relax ;%
417     \expandafter\phiblist_ci
418     \else
419     \expandafter\phiblist_cii
420     \fi
421     #1;#2;#3;#4;#5;%
422 }%

```

The extra level of bracing from `\xintbareiieval` is resolved in `\phiblist_again`.

```

423 \def\phiblist_ci #1;#2;#3;#4;#5;{%
424     {\the\numexpr#3-1\relax}%
425     \expandafter\phiblist_again
426     \romannumeral0\xintbareiieval #4+#2-#1\expandafter\relax

```

8. Core code

```
427     \romannumeral0\xintbareieval #5-#2\relax
428 }%
429 \def\phiblist_cii #1;#2;#3;#4;#5;{%
430     {#3}%
431     \expandafter\phiblist_again
432     \romannumeral0\xintbareieval #4-#2\expandafter\relax
433     \romannumeral0\xintbareieval #5-#1\relax
434 }%
435 \def\phiblist_again #1#2{%
436     \if0\PhiIISign_ab#1#2;%
437         \expandafter\phiblist_stop
438     \else
439         \expandafter\phiblist_i
440     \fi
441     #1;#2;%
442 }%
```

8.4.4. \PhiExponents

As this depends upon `\PhiBList` it will have to unbrace at each step to check sign of the exponent.

```
443 \def\PhiExponents{\expanded\phieponents}%
444 \def\phieponents#1{%
445     \expandafter\phieponents_a
446     \expanded\expandafter\phiblist_a\expanded{{#1}}%
447     ;%
448 }%
449 \def\phieponents_a #1{\if-#1.\fi\phieponents_b}%
450 \def\phieponents_b #1{%
451     \if;#1\expandafter\phieponents_done\fi
452     #1\phieponents_c
453 }%
454 \def\phieponents_c #1{%
455     \if;#1\expandafter\phieponents_done\fi
456     \PhiExponentsSep#1\phieponents_c
457 }%
458 \def\phieponents_done#1\phieponents_c{}%
459 \def\PhiExponentsSep{, }%
```

8.4.5. \PhiBasePhi

As this depends upon `\PhiBList` it will have to unbrace at each step to check sign of the exponent.

```
460 \def\PhiBasePhi{\expanded\phibasephi}%
461 \def\phibasephi#1{%
462     \expandafter\phibasephi_a
463     \expanded\expandafter\phiblist_a\expanded{{#1}}%
464     ;%
465 }%
466 \def\phibasephi_a #1{%
467     \if-#1-\fi
```

8. Core code

```
468 \if0#1\expandafter\xint_gob_til_sc\fi
469 \phibasephi_b
470 }%
471 \def\phibasephi_b #1{\phibasephi_c #1.}%
472 \def\phibasephi_c #1#2.{%
473 \if-#1%
474 0\PhiBasePhiSep\xintReplicate{#2-1}{0}%
475 1\expandafter\phibasephi_n
476 \else
477 1\expandafter\phibasephi_p
478 \fi
479 #1#2.%
480 }%
481 \def\phibasephi_p #1.#2{\phibasephi_pa #1.#2\xint:}%
482 \def\phibasephi_pa #1.#2{%
483 \if;#2\xintReplicate{#1}{0}\expandafter\xint_gob_til_xint:\fi
484 \phibasephi_pb #1.#2%
485 }%
486 \def\phibasephi_pb #1.#2#3\xint: {%
487 \if-#2%
488 \xintReplicate{#1}{0}\PhiBasePhiSep\xintReplicate{#3-1}{0}%
489 1\expandafter\phibasephi_n
490 \else
491 \xintReplicate{#1-#2#3-1}{0}%
492 1\expandafter\phibasephi_p
493 \fi
494 #2#3.%
495 }%
496 \def\phibasephi_n #1.#2{\phibasephi_na #1.#2\xint:}%
497 \def\phibasephi_na #1.#2{%
498 \if;#2\expandafter\xint_gob_til_xint:\fi
499 \phibasephi_nb #1.#2%
500 }%
501 \def\phibasephi_nb #1.#2#3\xint: {%
502 \xintReplicate{#1+#3-1}{0}%
503 1\phibasephi_n #2#3.%
504 }%
505 \def\PhiBasePhiSep{,}%
```

8.4.6. \PhiXfromExponents

If the list starts with period, it means it represents a negative number (or perhaps zero is there is nothing else).

```
506 \def\PhiXfromExponents{\expanded\phixfromexponents}%
507 \def\phixfromexponents#1{%
508 \expandafter\phixfromexponents_a\romannumeral`&&@#1,;%
509 }%
510 \def\phixfromexponents_a #1{%
511 \if.#1\expandafter\phixfromexponents_n
512 \else\expandafter\phixfromexponents_p
513 \fi #1%
514 }%
```

8. Core code

```
515 \def\phixfromexponents_p #1;{%
516   {\xintiiSum{\expanded{\zeck@applytocsv_a\Zeck@TheFNminusOne#1;}}}%
517   {\xintiiSum{\expanded{\zeck@applytocsv_a\Zeck@TheFN#1;}}}%
518 }%
519 \def\phixfromexponents_n .#1;{%
520   {\xintiiOpp{\xintiiSum{\expanded{\zeck@applytocsv_a\Zeck@TheFNminusOne#1;}}}%
521   {\xintiiOpp{\xintiiSum{\expanded{\zeck@applytocsv_a\Zeck@TheFN#1;}}}%
522 }%
```

8.4.7. \PhiXfromBasePhi

The radix separator must be a comma. There must be at least one digit before the comma, if the latter is there. Empty input is allowed. Input must *f*-expand so `\macroA`, `\macroB` not allowed.

Coded the lazy way by first converting to comma separated list. `\phixponentsfromrep` p's output has a final comma but this is ok.

```
523 \def\PhiXfromBasePhi{\expanded\phixfrombasephi}%
524 \def\phixfrombasephi{\expandafter\phixfromexponents\expanded\phixponentsfromrep}%
525 \def\phixponentsfromrep#1{%
526   {\iffalse}\fi\expandafter\phixponentsfromrep_a\romannumeral`&&@#1,;\xint:}%
527 }%
528 \def\phixponentsfromrep_a #1{%
529   \if-#1.\xint_dothis\phixponentsfromrep_a\fi
530   \if,#1\xint_dothis\zeck_done\fi
531   \xint_orthat{\phixponentsfromrep_b #1}%
532 }%
533 \def\phixponentsfromrep_b #1,#2{%
534   \expandafter\phixponentsfromrep_c\romannumeral0\xintreversedigits{#1};%
535   \if;#2\expandafter\zeck_done\else
536     \expandafter\phixponentsfromrep_i\fi #2%
537 }%
538 \def\phixponentsfromrep_c{\phixponentsfromrep_d 0.}%
539 \def\phixponentsfromrep_d#1.#2{%
540   \if;#2\expandafter\xint_gob_til_dot\fi
541   \if#21#1,\fi
542   \expandafter\phixponentsfromrep_d\the\numexpr#1+1.%
543 }%
544 \def\phixponentsfromrep_i{\phixponentsfromrep_j -1.}%
545 \def\phixponentsfromrep_j#1.#2{%
546   \if;#2\expandafter\zeck_done\fi
547   \if#21#1,\fi
548   \expandafter\phixponentsfromrep_j\the\numexpr#1-1.%
549 }%
```

8.5. The Knuth Fibonacci multiplication

8.5.1. \ZeckKMul: Knuth definition

Here a `\romannumeral0` trigger is used to match `\xintiisum`. Although it induces defining one more macro we obide by the general coding style of `xint` with a CamelCase then a lowercase macro, rather than having them merged as only one.

8. Core code

```
550 \def\ZeckKMul{\romannumeral0\zeckkmul}%
551 \def\zeckkmul#1#2{\expandafter\zeckkmul_a
552         \expanded{\ZeckIndices{#1}%
553                 ,;%
554                 \ZeckIndices{#2}%
555                 ,,}%
556 }%
```

The space token at start of #2 after first one is not a problem as it ends up in a `\numexpr` anyhow.

```
557 \def\zeckkmul_a{\expandafter\xintiisum\expanded{\iffalse}}\fi
558         \zeckkmul_b}%
559 \def\zeckkmul_b#1;#2,{%
560     \if\relax#2\relax\expandafter\zeckkmul_done\fi
561     \zeckkmul_c{#2}#1,\zeckkmul_b#1;%
562 }%
563 \def\zeckkmul_c#1#2,{%
564     \if\relax#2\relax\expandafter\xint_gobble_iii\fi
565     {\expandafter\zeck@the\romannumeral`&&\Zeck@@FN{#1+#2}}\zeckkmul_c{#1}%
566 }%
567 \def\zeckkmul_done#1;{\iffalse{\fi}}%
```

8.5.2. \ZeckAMul: Arnoux formula

Here a `\romannumeral0` trigger is used to match `\xintiisum`.

```
568 \def\ZeckAMul{\romannumeral0\zeckamul}%
569 \def\zeckamul#1{\expandafter\zeckamul_in\romannumeral`&&@#1;%
570 \def\zeckamul_in#1;#2{\expandafter\zeckamul_a\romannumeral`&&@#2;#1;%
571 \def\zeckamul_a #1;#2;{\xintiiaadd
572     {\xintiiMul{#1}{#2}}
573     {\xintiiAdd{\xintiiMul{#1}{\ZeckB{#2}}}{\xintiiMul{\ZeckB{#1}}{#2}}}%
574 }%
```

8.5.3. \ZeckB: B operator

Here a `\romannumeral0` trigger is used to match `\xintiisum`. It is a fact of life that `\xi\ntiiSum` needs to grab something at each item before expanding it, rather than expanding prior to grabbing. So we use an `\expanded` wrapper.

```
575 \def\ZeckB{\romannumeral0\zeckb}%
576 \def\zeckb#1{\xintiisum{\expanded{\iffalse}}\fi
577         \expandafter\zeckb_a\expanded\zeckindices{#1},,}%

```

`#1-1` is always positive.

```
578 \def\zeckb_a#1,{%
579     \if\relax#1\relax\expandafter\zeckb_done\fi
580     {\expandafter\zeck@the\romannumeral`&&\Zeck@@FN{#1-1}}\zeckb_a
581 }%
582 \def\zeckb_done#1\zeckb_a{\iffalse{\fi}}%
```


8.6. Typesetting

8.6.1. `\ZeckPrintIndexedSum`

Expandable, but needs x-expansion. The default requires math mode, as it uses `\sb`. We do not use `_` here due to catcode. It only *f*-expands its argument. No repeated or final comma allowed.

```

583 \def\ZeckPrintIndexedSumSep{+\allowbreak}%
584 \def\ZeckPrintOne#1{F\sb{#1}}%
585 \def\ZeckPrintIndexedSum#1{%
586   \expandafter\zeckprintindexedsum\romannumeral`&&@#1,;%
587 }%
588 \def\zeckprintindexedsum#1{%
589   \if,#1\expandafter\xint_gob_til_sc\fi \zeckprintindexedsum_a#1%
590 }%
591 \def\zeckprintindexedsum_a#1,{\ZeckPrintOne{#1}\zeckprintindexedsum_b}%
592 \def\zeckprintindexedsum_b #1{%
593   \if,#1\expandafter\xint_gob_til_sc\fi
594   \ZeckPrintIndexedSumSep\zeckprintindexedsum_a#1%
595 }%

```

8.6.2. `\PhiPrintIndexedSum`

A clone of `\ZeckPrintIndexedSum` with its own namespace.

```

596 \let\PhiPrintIndexedSumSep\ZeckPrintIndexedSumSep
597 \def\PhiPrintOne#1{\phi\sp{#1}}%
598 \def\PhiPrintIndexedSum#1{%
599   \expandafter\phiprintindexedsum\romannumeral`&&@#1,;%
600 }%
601 \def\phiprintindexedsum#1{%
602   \if,#1\expandafter\xint_gob_til_sc\fi \phiprintindexedsum_a#1%
603 }%
604 \def\phiprintindexedsum_a#1,{\PhiPrintOne{#1}\phiprintindexedsum_b}%
605 \def\phiprintindexedsum_b #1{%
606   \if,#1\expandafter\xint_gob_til_sc\fi
607   \PhiPrintIndexedSumSep\phiprintindexedsum_a#1%
608 }%

```

8.6.3. `\PhiTypesetX`

```

609 \def\PhiTypesetX #1{%
610   \expandafter\PhiTypesetXPrint\expanded{#1}%
611 }%
612 \def\PhiTypesetXPrint #1#2{%
613   \xintiiifSgn{#1}%
614   {#1\xintiiifSgn{#2}{#2\phi}}{+#2\phi}}%
615   {\xintiiifSgn{#2}{#2\phi}{0}{#2\phi}}%
616   {#1\xintiiifSgn{#2}{#2\phi}}{+#2\phi}}%
617 }%

```

8.7. Extensions of the `\xinteval` syntax

`fib()` and `fibseq()` accept negative arguments, but `fibseq(a,b)` must be with $b > a$, else falls into an infinite loop.

Initially all was added to `\xintiieval` only, but when it was decided to also overload the infix operators with the operations in $\mathbb{Z}[\phi]$, it felt awkward not to include the division so finally we support $\mathbb{Q}(\phi)$, and had to switch to `\xinteval`.

This has the advantage that the overloading of `-`, `+`, `*` which we do now is only for `\xintexpr`, thus internals of the package which still for convenience use `\xintiieval` (to compute Fibonacci via the multiplicative algorithm) will not get annoying overhead from this overloading of the infix operators.

8.7.1. Provisory ad hoc support for adding `xintexpr` operators

Unfortunately, contrarily to `bnumexpr`, `xintexpr` (at 1.40) has no public interface to define an infix operator, and the macros it defines to that end have acquired another meaning at end of loading `xintexpr.sty`, so we have to copy quite a few lines of code. This is provisory and will be removed when `xintexpr.sty` will have been updated. We also copy/adapt `\bnumdefinfix`.

We test for existence of `\xintdefinfix` so as to be able to update upstream and not have to sync it immediately. But perhaps upstream will choose some other name than `\xintdefinfix...`

```

618 \ifdefined\xintdefinfix
619   \def\zeckdefinfix{\xintdefinfix {expr}}%
620 \else
621 \ifdefined\xint_noxpd\else\let\xint_noxpd\unexpanded\fi % support old xint
622 \def\ZECK_defbin_c #1#2#3#4#5#6#7#8%
623 {%
624   \XINT_global\def #1##1% \XINT_#8_op_<op>
625   {%
626     \expanded{\xint_noxpd{#2{##1}}\expandafter}%
627     \romannumeral`&&\expandafter#3\romannumeral`&&\XINT_expr_getnext
628   }%
629   \XINT_global\def #2##1##2##3##4% \XINT_#8_exec_<op>
630   {%
631     \expandafter##2\expandafter##3\expandafter
632     {\romannumeral`&&\XINT:NEhook:f:one:from:two{\romannumeral`&&#7##1##4}}%
633   }%
634   \XINT_global\def #3##1% \XINT_#8_check_-_<op>
635   {%
636     \xint_UDsignfork
637     ##1{\expandafter#4\romannumeral`&&#5}%
638     -{#4##1}%
639   \krof
640   }%
641   \XINT_global\def #4##1##2% \XINT_#8_checkp_<op>
642   {%
643     \ifnum ##1>#6%
644       \expandafter#4%
645       \romannumeral`&&\csname XINT_#8_op_#2\expandafter\endcsname

```

8. Core code

```

646   \else
647     \expandafter ##1\expandafter ##2%
648   \fi
649 }%
650 }%

```

ATTENTION there is lacking at end here compared to the `bnumexpr` version an adjustment for updating minus operator, if some other right precedence than 12, 14, 17 is used. Doing this would requiring copying still more, so is not done.

```

651 \def\ZECK_defbin_b #1#2#3#4#5%
652 {%
653   \expandafter\ZECK_defbin_c
654   \csname XINT_#1_op_#2\expandafter\endcsname
655   \csname XINT_#1_exec_#2\expandafter\endcsname
656   \csname XINT_#1_check_#2\expandafter\endcsname
657   \csname XINT_#1_checkp_#2\expandafter\endcsname
658   \csname XINT_#1_op_-\romannumeral\ifnum#4>12 #4\else12\fi\expandafter\endcsname
659   \csname xint_c_-\romannumeral#4\endcsname
660   #5%
661   {#1}% #8 for \ZECK_defbin_c
662   \XINT_global
663   \expandafter
664   \let\csname XINT_expr_precedence_#2\expandafter\endcsname
665     \csname xint_c_-\romannumeral#3\endcsname
666 }%

```

These next two currently lifted from `bnumexpr` with some adaptations, see previous comment about precedences.

We do not define the extra `\chardef`'s as does `bnumexpr` to allow more user choices of precedences, not only because nobody will ever use the feature, but also because it needs extra configuration for minus unary operator. (as mentioned above)

```

667 \def\zeckdefinfix #1#2#3#4%
668 {%
669   \edef\ZECK_tmpa{#1}%
670   \edef\ZECK_tmpa{\xint_zapspaces_o\ZECK_tmpa}%
671   \edef\ZECK_tmpL{\the\numexpr#3\relax}%
672   \edef\ZECK_tmpL
673     {\ifnum\ZECK_tmpL<4 4\else\ifnum\ZECK_tmpL<23 \ZECK_tmpL\else 22\fi\fi}%
674   \edef\ZECK_tmpR{\the\numexpr#4\relax}%
675   \edef\ZECK_tmpR
676     {\ifnum\ZECK_tmpR<4 4\else\ifnum\ZECK_tmpR<23 \ZECK_tmpR\else 22\fi\fi}%
677   \ZECK_defbin_b {expr}\ZECK_tmpa\ZECK_tmpL\ZECK_tmpR #2%
678   \expandafter\ZECK_dotheitselves\ZECK_tmpa\relax
679   \unless\ifcsname
680     XINT_expr_exec_-\romannumeral\ifnum\ZECK_tmpR>12 \ZECK_tmpR\else 12\fi
681   \endcsname
682   \xintMessage{zeckendorf}{Error}{Right precedence not among accepted values.}%
683   \errhelp{Accepted values include 12, 14, and 17.}%
684   \errmessage{Sorry, you can not use \ZECK_tmpR\space as right precedence.}%
685   \fi
686   \ifxintverbose
687     \xintMessage{zeckendorf}{info}{infix operator \ZECK_tmpa\space
688     \ifxintglobaldefs globally \fi

```

8. Core code

```

689     does
690     \xint_noxpd{#2}\MessageBreak with precedences \ZECK_tmpL, \ZECK_tmpR;}%
691 \fi
692 }%
693 \def\ZECK_dotheitselfes#1#2%
694 {%
695     \if#2\relax\expandafter\xint_gobble_ii
696     \else
697     \XINT_global
698     \expandafter\edef\csname XINT_expr_itself_#1#2\endcsname{#1#2}%
699     \unless\ifcsname XINT_expr_precedence_#1\endcsname
700     \XINT_global
701     \expandafter\edef\csname XINT_expr_precedence_#1\endcsname
702     {\csname XINT_expr_precedence_\ZECK_tmpa\endcsname}%
703     \XINT_global
704     \expandafter\odef\csname XINT_expr_op_#1\endcsname
705     {\csname XINT_expr_op_\ZECK_tmpa\endcsname}%
706     \fi
707     \fi
708     \ZECK_dotheitselfes{#1#2}%
709 }%

```

There is no ``undefine operator'' in `bnumexpr` currently. Experimental, I don't want to spend too much time. I sense there is a potential problem with multi-character operators related to ``undoing the itselfes'', because of the mechanism which allows to use for example `;;` as short-cut for `;;; if ;;` was not pre-defined when `;;; got defined`. To undefine `;;`, I would need to check if it really has been aliased to `;;;`, and I don't do the effort here.

```

710 \def\ZECK_undefbin_b #1#2%
711 {%
712     \XINT_global\expandafter\let
713     \csname XINT_#1_op_#2\endcsname\xint_undefined
714     \XINT_global\expandafter\let
715     \csname XINT_#1_exec_#2\endcsname\xint_undefined
716     \XINT_global\expandafter\let
717     \csname XINT_#1_check-#2\endcsname\xint_undefined
718     \XINT_global\expandafter\let
719     \csname XINT_#1_checkp_#2\endcsname\xint_undefined
720     \XINT_global\expandafter\let
721     \csname XINT_expr_precedence_#2\endcsname\xint_undefined
722     \XINT_global\expandafter\let
723     \csname XINT_expr_itself_#2\endcsname\xint_undefined
724 }%
725 \def\zeckundefinfix #1%
726 {%
727     \edef\ZECK_tmpa{#1}%
728     \edef\ZECK_tmpa{\xint_zapspace_o\ZECK_tmpa}%
729     \ZECK_undefbin_b {expr}\ZECK_tmpa
730 %% \ifxintverbose
731     \xintMessage{zeckendorff}{Warning}{infix operator \ZECK_tmpa\space
732     has been DELETED!}%
733 %% \fi

```

8. Core code

```

734 }%
735 \fi
736 \def\ZeckDeleteOperator#1{\zeckundefinfix{#1}}%

```

Attention, this is like `\bnumdefinfix` and thus does not have same order of arguments as the `\ZECK_defbin_b` above.

```

737 \def\ZeckSetAsKnuthOp#1{\zeckdefinfix{#1}{\ZeckKMul}{14}{14}}%
738 \def\ZeckSetAsArnouxOp#1{\zeckdefinfix{#1}{\ZeckAMul}{14}{14}}%

```

8.7.2. The \$ and \$\$ as infix operator for the Knuth multiplication

```

739 \ZeckSetAsArnouxOp{$$$} $ (<-only to tame Emacs/AUCTeX highlighting)
740 \ZeckSetAsKnuthOp{$$$$} $$

```

8.7.3. Support macros for $Q(\phi)$ algebra

```

\PhiSgn
741 \def\PhiSgn{\romannumeral0\phisign}%
742 \def\phisign #1{%
743   \expandafter\phisign_a\expanded{{#1}}%
744 }%
745 \def\phisign_a #1{\expandafter\phisign_b\string#1;}%
746 \catcode`[=1 \catcode`]=2 \catcode`\{=12 % }
747 \def\phisign_b #1[\if#1{\expandafter\phisign_X % }
748   \else\expandafter\phisign_N\fi #1]%
749 \catcode`=12 \catcode`=12 \catcode`\{=1 % }
750 \def\phisign_N #1;{\XINT_sgn #1\xint:}%
751 \def\phisign_X #1{\expandafter\phisign_ab\expandafter{\iffalse}\fi}%
752 \def\PhiSgn_ab {\romannumeral0\phisign_ab}%
753 \def\phisign_ab #1#2;{%
754   \xintiiifsgn{#1}%
755   {% a < 0
756     \xintiiifSgn{#2}%
757     {-1}%
758     {-1}%
759     {% a < 0, b > 0, return 1 iff a^2+ab<b^2
760       \xintifLt{\xintMul{#1}{\xintAdd{#1}{#2}}}{\xintSqr{#2}}%
761       {1}%
762       {-1}%
763     }%
764   }%
765   {\xintiiSgn{#2}}%
766   {% a > 0
767     \xintiiifSgn{#2}%
768     {% a > 0, b < 0, return 1 iff a^2+ab>b^2
769       \xintifGt{\xintMul{#1}{\xintAdd{#1}{#2}}}{\xintSqr{#2}}%
770       {1}%
771       {-1}%
772     }%
773     {1}%
774     {1}%
775   }%
776 }%

```

8. Core code

```

\PhiAbs
777 \def\PhiAbs{\romannumeral0\phiabs}%
778 \def\phiabs #1{%
779   \expandafter\phiabs_a\expanded{#1}%
780 }%
781 \def\phiabs_a #1{\expandafter\phiabs_b\string#1}%
782 \catcode\ [=1 \catcode\ ]=2 \catcode\ {=12 % }
783 \def\phiabs_b #1[\if#1{\expandafter\phiabs_X % }
784   \else\expandafter\phiabs_N\fi #1]%
785 \catcode\ [=12 \catcode\ ]=12 \catcode\ {=1 % }
786 \let\phiabs_N \XINT_abs
787 \def\phiabs_X #1{\expandafter\phiabs_x\expandafter{\iffalse}\fi}%
788 \def\phiabs_x #1#2{\expanded{\ifnum\PhiSign_ab {#1}{#2};<\xint_c_
789   \expandafter\xint_firstoftwo\else\expandafter\xint_secondoftwo\fi
790   {\XINT_Opp#1}{\XINT_Opp#2}}{#1}{#2}}%
791   }%
792 }%

\PhiNorm
793 \def\PhiNorm{\romannumeral0\phinorm}%
794 \def\phinorm #1{%
795   \expandafter\phinorm_a\expanded{#1}%
796 }%
797 \def\phinorm_a #1{\expandafter\phinorm_b\detokenize{#1;}#1}%
798 \catcode\ [=1 \catcode\ ]=2 \catcode\ {=12 % }
799 \def\phinorm_b #1#2;[\if#1{\expandafter\phinorm_X % }
800   \else\expandafter\phinorm_N\fi]%
801 \catcode\ [=12 \catcode\ ]=12 \catcode\ {=1 % }
802 \let\phinorm_N\xintsqr
803 \def\phinorm_X #1{\phinorm_x #1}%
804 \def\phinorm_x #1#2{\xintsub
805   {\xintMul{#1}{\xintAdd{#1}{#2}}}%
806   {\xintSqr{#2}}}%
807 }%

\PhiConj
808 \def\PhiConj{\romannumeral0\phiconj}%
809 \def\phiconj #1{%
810   \expandafter\phiconj_a\expanded{#1}%
811 }%
812 \def\phiconj_a #1{\expandafter\phiconj_b\detokenize{#1;}#1}%
813 \catcode\ [=1 \catcode\ ]=2 \catcode\ {=12 % }
814 \def\phiconj_b #1#2;[\if#1{\expandafter\phiconj_X % }
815   \else\expandafter\phiconj_N\fi]%
816 \catcode\ [=12 \catcode\ ]=12 \catcode\ {=1 % }
817 \let\phiconj_N\space
818 \def\phiconj_X #1#2{\expanded{%
819   {\xintAdd{#1}{#2}}{\XINT_Opp #2}}%
820 }}%

```

8. Core code

```

\PhiOpp
821 \def\PhiOpp{\romannumeral0\phiopp}%
822 \def\phiopp #1{%
823   \expandafter\phiopp_a\expanded{#1}%
824 }%
825 \def\phiopp_a #1{\expandafter\phiopp_b\string#1}%
826 \catcode\`[=1 \catcode\`=2 \catcode\`{=12 % }
827 \def\phiopp_b #1[\if#1{\expandafter\phiopp_X % }
828   \else\expandafter\phiopp_N\fi #1]%
829 \catcode\`=12 \catcode\`=12 \catcode\`{=1 % }
830 \let\phiopp_N \XINT_opp
831 \def\phiopp_X #1{\expandafter\phiopp_x\expandafter{\iffalse}\fi}%
832 \def\phiopp_x #1#2{\expanded{%
833   {\XINT_Opp #1}{\XINT_Opp #2}}%
834 }}%

\PhiAdd
835 \def\PhiAdd{\romannumeral0\phiadd}%
836 \def\phiadd #1#2{%
837   \expandafter\phiadd_a\expanded{#1}{#2}%
838 }%
839 \def\phiadd_a #1{\expandafter\phiadd_b\string#1;%}
840 \catcode\`[=1 \catcode\`=2 \catcode\`{=12 % }
841 \def\phiadd_b #1[\if#1{\expandafter\phiadd_X % }
842   \else\expandafter\phiadd_N\fi #1]%
843 \def\phiadd_N #1;#2[\expandafter\phiadd_n\string#2;[#1]]%
844 \def\phiadd_n #1[\if#1{\expandafter\phiadd_nX % }
845   \else\expandafter\phiadd_nn\fi #1]%
846 \def\phiadd_nX #1[\expandafter\phiadd_nx\expandafter[\iffalse]\fi]%
847 \def\phiadd_X #1[\expandafter\phiadd_x\expandafter[\iffalse]\fi]%
#1={a}{b}.
848 \def\phiadd_x #1;#2[\expandafter\phiadd_xa\string#2;#1]%
849 \def\phiadd_xa#1[\if#1{\expandafter\phiadd_XX % }
850   \else\expandafter\phiadd_xn\fi #1]%
851 \def\phiadd_XX #1[\expandafter\phiadd_xx\expandafter[\iffalse]\fi]%
852 \catcode\`=12 \catcode\`=12 \catcode\`{=1 % }
853 \def\phiadd_nn #1;{\xintadd{#1}}%
854 \def\phiadd_nx #1#2;#3{\expandafter
855   {\romannumeral0\xintadd{#1}{#3}}{#2}}%
856 }%
857 \def\phiadd_xn #1;#2{\expandafter
858   {\romannumeral0\xintadd{#1}{#2}}%
859 }%
860 \def\phiadd_xx #1#2;#3#4{\expanded{%
861   {\xintAdd{#1}{#3}}%
862   {\xintAdd{#2}{#4}}%
863 }}%

\PhiSub
864 \def\PhiSub{\romannumeral0\phisub}%

```

8. Core code

```

865 \def\phisub #1#2{%
866   \expandafter\phisub_a\expanded{#{1}{#2}}%
867 }%
868 \def\phisub_a #1{\expandafter\phisub_b\string#1;}%
869 \catcode`[=1 \catcode`=2 \catcode`\{=12 % }
870 \def\phisub_b #1[\if#1{\expandafter\phisub_X % }
871   \else\expandafter\phisub_N\fi #1]%
872 \def\phisub_N #1;#2[\expandafter\phisub_n\string#2;[#1]]%
873 \def\phisub_n #1[\if#1{\expandafter\phisub_nX % }
874   \else\expandafter\phisub_nn\fi #1]%
875 \def\phisub_nX #1[\expandafter\phisub_nx\expandafter[\iffalse]\fi]%
876 \def\phisub_X #1[\expandafter\phisub_x\expandafter[\iffalse]\fi]%
#1={a}{b}.
877 \def\phisub_x #1;#2[\expandafter\phisub_xa\string#2;#1]%
878 \def\phisub_xa#1[\if#1{\expandafter\phisub_XX % }
879   \else\expandafter\phisub_xn\fi #1]%
880 \def\phisub_XX #1[\expandafter\phisub_xx\expandafter[\iffalse]\fi]%
881 \catcode`[=12 \catcode`=12 \catcode`\{=1 % }
882 \def\phisub_nn #1;#2{\xintsub{#2}{#1}}%
883 \def\phisub_nx #1#2;#3{\expanded{
884   {\xintSub{#3}{#1}}{\XINT_Opp#2}}%
885 }}%
886 \def\phisub_xn #1;#2{\expandafter
887   {\romannumeral0\xintsub{#2}{#1}}%
888 }%
889 \def\phisub_xx #1#2;#3#4{\expanded{
890   {\xintSub{#3}{#1}}%
891   {\xintSub{#4}{#2}}%
892 }}%

\PhiMul
893 \def\PhiMul{\romannumeral0\phimul}%
894 \def\phimul #1#2{%
895   \expandafter\phimul_a\expanded{#{1}{#2}}%
896 }%
897 \def\phimul_a #1{\expandafter\phimul_b\string#1;}%
898 \catcode`[=1 \catcode`=2 \catcode`\{=12 % }
899 \def\phimul_b #1[\if#1{\expandafter\phimul_X % }
900   \else\expandafter\phimul_N\fi #1]%
901 \def\phimul_N #1;#2[\expandafter\phimul_n\string#2;[#1]]%
902 \def\phimul_n #1[\if#1{\expandafter\phimul_nX % }
903   \else\expandafter\phimul_nn\fi #1]%
904 \def\phimul_nX #1[\expandafter\phimul_nx\expandafter[\iffalse]\fi]%
905 \def\phimul_X #1[\expandafter\phimul_x\expandafter[\iffalse]\fi]%
#1={a}{b}.
906 \def\phimul_x #1;#2[\expandafter\phimul_xa\string#2;#1]%
907 \def\phimul_xa#1[\if#1{\expandafter\phimul_XX % }
908   \else\expandafter\phimul_xn\fi #1]%
909 \def\phimul_XX #1[\expandafter\phimul_xx\expandafter[\iffalse]\fi]%
910 \catcode`[=12 \catcode`=12 \catcode`\{=1 % }
911 \def\phimul_nn#1;{\xintmul{#1}}%

```


8. Core code

```

912 \def\phimul_nx#1#2;#3{\expanded{%
913   {\xintMul{#1}{#3}}{\xintMul{#2}{#3}}%
914 }}%
915 \def\phimul_xn#1;#2#3{\expanded{%
916   {\xintMul{#1}{#2}}{\xintMul{#1}{#3}}%
917 }}%
918 \def\phimul_xx #1#2;#3#4{%
919   \expandafter\phimul_xx_a\expanded{%
920     \xintMul{#1}{#3};% ca
921     \xintMul{#2}{#4};% db
922     \xintMul{#1}{#4};% da
923     \xintMul{#2}{#3};% cb
924   }%
925 }%
926 \def\phimul_xx_a #1;#2;#3;#4;{\expanded{%
927   {\xintAdd{#1}{#2}}%
928   {\xintAdd{#3}{\xintAdd{#4}{#2}}}%
929 }}%

\PhiDiv
930 \def\PhiDiv{\romannumeral0\phidiv}%
931 \def\phidiv #1#2{%
932   \expandafter\phidiv_a\expanded{{#1}{#2}}%
933 }%
934 \def\phidiv_a #1{\expandafter\phidiv_b\string#1;}%
935 \catcode [=1 \catcode ]=2 \catcode \{=12 % }
936 \def\phidiv_b #1[\if#1{\expandafter\phidiv_X % }
937   \else\expandafter\phidiv_N\fi #1]%
938 \def\phidiv_N #1;#2[\expandafter\phidiv_n\string#2;[#1]]%
939 \def\phidiv_n #1[\if#1{\expandafter\phidiv_nX % }
940   \else\expandafter\phidiv_nn\fi #1]%
941 \def\phidiv_nX #1[\expandafter\phidiv_nx\expandafter[\iffalse]\fi]%
942 \def\phidiv_X #1[\expandafter\phidiv_x\expandafter[\iffalse]\fi]%
#1={a}{b}.
943 \def\phidiv_x #1;#2[\expandafter\phidiv_xa\string#2;#1]%
944 \def\phidiv_xa#1[\if#1{\expandafter\phidiv_XX % }
945   \else\expandafter\phidiv_xn\fi #1]%
946 \def\phidiv_XX #1[\expandafter\phidiv_xx\expandafter[\iffalse]\fi]%
947 \catcode [=12 \catcode ]=12 \catcode \{=1 % }
948 \def\phidiv_nn#1;#2{\xintdiv{#2}{#1}}%
949 \def\phidiv_nx#1#2{%
950   \expandafter\phidiv_nx_a\expanded{%
951     {\xintSub{\xintMul{#1}{\xintAdd{#1}{#2}}}{\xintSqr{#2}}}%
952     }{#1}{#2}%
953 }%
954 \def\phidiv_nx_a#1#2#3;#4{\expanded{%
955   {\xintIrr{\xintDiv{\xintMul{#4}{\xintAdd{#2}{#3}}}{#1}}[0]}%
956   {\xintIrr{\xintOpp{\xintDiv{\xintMul{#4}{#3}}{#1}}}[0]}%
957 }}%
958 \def\phidiv_xn #1;#2#3{\expanded{%
959   {\xintIrr{\xintDiv{#2}{#1}}[0]}%

```

8. Core code

```

960   {\xintIrr{\xintDiv{#3}{#1}}[0]}%
961 }}%
962 \def\phidiv_xx #1#2;#3#4{%
963   \expandafter\phidiv_xx_a\expanded{%
964     \expandafter\phimul_xx
965     \expanded{{\xintAdd{#1}{#2}}{\XINT_Opp #2}};{#3}{#4}%
966     {\xintSub{\xintMul{#1}{\xintAdd{#1}{#2}}}{\xintSqr{#2}}}%
967   }%
968 }%
969 \def\phidiv_xx_a #1#2#3{%
970   \expanded{%
971     {\xintIrr{\xintDiv{#1}{#3}}[0]}%
972     {\xintIrr{\xintDiv{#2}{#3}}[0]}%
973   }%
974 }%

\PhiPow
975 \def\PhiPow{\romannumeral0\phipow}%
976 \def\phipow #1#2{%
977   \expandafter\phipow_a\expanded
978   {{#1}{\xintNum{#2}}}%
979 }%
980 \def\phipow_a #1{\expandafter\phipow_b\string#1;}%
981 \catcode`[=1 \catcode`=2 \catcode`\{=12 % }
982 \def\phipow_b #1[\if#1{\expandafter\phipow_X % }
983   \else\expandafter\phipow_N\fi #1]%
984 \catcode`[=12 \catcode`=12 \catcode`\{=1 % }
985 \def\phipow_N #1;{\xintpow{#1}}%
986 \def\phipow_X #1{\expandafter\phipow_x\expandafter{\iffalse}\fi}%

Let's handle negative exponents too, now that we use \xinteval.
987 \def\phipow_x #1;#2{\phipow_fork #2;#1}%
988 \def\phipow_fork #1{%
989   \xint_UDzerominusfork
990   0#1\phipow_neg
991   #1-\phipow_zero
992   0-\phipow_pos
993   \krof #1%
994 }%
995 \def\phipow_zero 0;#1#2{{1}{0}}%
996 \def\phipow_neg -{%
997   \expandafter\phiinv_ab\romannumeral0\phipow_pos
998 }%
999 \def\phiinv_ab #1#2{%
1000   \expandafter\phiinv_c\expanded{%
1001     {\xintSub{\xintMul{#1}{\xintAdd{#1}{#2}}}{\xintSqr{#2}}}%
1002     }{#1}{#2}%
1003 }%
1004 \def\phiinv_c #1#2#3{\expanded{%
1005   {\xintIrr{\xintDiv{\xintAdd{#2}{#3}}{#1}}[0]}%
1006   {\xintIrr{\xintOpp{\xintDiv{#3}{#1}}}[0]}%
1007 }}%

```

8. Core code

```

1008 \def\phipow_pos #1;%
1009   \expandafter\phipow_xa
1010   \expanded{10\xintDecToBin{#1}},;%
1011 }%
1012 \def\phipow_xa #1#2#3#4;%
1013   \if#3,\expandafter\phipow_done\fi
1014   \if#31\expandafter\phipow_xo
1015   \else\expandafter\phipow_xe\fi
1016   {#1}{#2}#4;%
1017 }%
1018 \def\phipow_done \if#1\fi #2#3;#4#5{{#2}{#3}}%
1019 \def\phipow_xo #1#2{%
1020   \expandafter\phipow_xo_a\expanded{%
1021     \xintSqr{#1};\xintMul{#1}{#2};\xintSqr{#2};%
1022   }%
1023 }%
1024 \def\phipow_xo_a #1;#2;#3;%
1025   \expandafter\phipow_xo_b\expanded{%
1026     \xintAdd{#1}{#3};\xintAdd{#2}{\xintAdd{#2}{#3}};%
1027   }%
1028 }%
1029 \def\phipow_xo_b#1;#2;#3;#4#5{%
1030   \expandafter\phipow_xa\romannumeral0%
1031   \phimul_xx {#1}{#2};{#4}{#5}#3;{#4}{#5}%
1032 }%
1033 \def\phipow_xe #1#2{%
1034   \expandafter\phipow_xe_a\expanded{%
1035     \xintSqr{#1};\xintMul{#1}{#2};\xintSqr{#2};%
1036   }%
1037 }%
1038 \def\phipow_xe_a #1;#2;#3;%
1039   \expandafter\phipow_xa\expanded{%
1040     {\xintAdd{#1}{#3}}{\xintAdd{#2}{\xintAdd{#2}{#3}}}%
1041   }%
1042 }%

```

8.7.4. Overloading +, -, *, ^, and **

The ****** is pre-aliased to **^** at `xintexpr` level via `\XINT_expr_itself_**`, so nothing to do here once **^** is handled.

The unary **-** requires extra care.

```

1043 \zeckdefinfix{+}{\PhiAdd}{12}{12}%
1044 \zeckdefinfix{-}{\PhiSub}{12}{12}%
1045 \xintFor #1 in {xii,xiv,xvii}\do{%
1046   \expandafter\def\csname XINT_expr_exec_#1\endcsname
1047   ##1##2##3%
1048   {%
1049     \expandafter ##1\expandafter ##2\expandafter
1050     {%
1051       \romannumeral`&&\XINT:NEhook:f:one:from:one
1052       {\romannumeral`&&\PhiOpp##3}%
1053     }%

```

```

1054 }%
1055 }%
1056 \zeckdefinfix{*}{\PhiMul}{14}{14}%
1057 \zeckdefinfix{/}{\PhiDiv}{14}{14}%
1058 \zeckdefinfix{^}{\PhiPow}{18}{17}%

```

8.7.5. Variables and functions for `\xinteval`

The macros computing Fibonacci numbers, Zeckendorf indices, and Bergman exponents, were done originally assuming to be used with arguments in strict integer format. But when operations are executed in `\xinteval` the intermediate results will use the ```raw''` format described in the `xintexpr` manual, not the ```strict integer format''`. We thus need wrappers to apply `\xintNum` for normalization, even though this adds annoying overhead. These wrappers can assume that the argument is already expanded.

For macros handling input being either one unbraced integer or a pair of braced integers this is more complicated. We separated `\PhiIISign_ab` from `\PhiSign` to this aim. The former for optimized internal usage, only using integer algebra. The latter uses the `xintfrac` macros, so there is no problem and we do not want to truncate arguments to integers. Similarly for `\PhiAbs` no need to do something special.

`\PhiMaxE` is integer-only, but in the end I decided to not provide an `\xinteval` interface and to remove the one for `\ZeckMaxK`.

For the support for `phiexponents()`, which is also integer only we have to use `\xintNum`, the problem is that we can't do that prior to know if used with an integer or a nutple. So `\Phi@BList` was done to handle that.

```

1059 \xintdefvar phi:=[0,1];%
1060 \xintdefvar psi:=[1,-1];%
1061 \def\xINT_expr_func_phinorm #1#2#3%
1062 {%
1063   \expandafter #1\expandafter #2\expandafter{%
1064     \romannumeral`&&\XINT:NEhook:f:one:from:one
1065     {\romannumeral`&&\PhiNorm#3}}%
1066 }%
1067 \def\xINT_expr_func_phiconj #1#2#3%
1068 {%
1069   \expandafter #1\expandafter #2\expandafter{%
1070     \romannumeral`&&\XINT:NEhook:f:one:from:one
1071     {\romannumeral`&&\PhiConj#3}}%
1072 }%
1073 \def\xINT_expr_func_phisign #1#2#3%
1074 {%
1075   \expandafter #1\expandafter #2\expandafter{%
1076     \romannumeral`&&\XINT:NEhook:f:one:from:one
1077     {\romannumeral`&&\PhiSign#3}}%
1078 }%
1079 \def\xINT_expr_func_phiabs #1#2#3%
1080 {%
1081   \expandafter #1\expandafter #2\expandafter{%
1082     \romannumeral`&&\XINT:NEhook:f:one:from:one
1083     {\romannumeral`&&\PhiAbs#3}}%
1084 }%

```

8. Core code

```

1085 \def\ZeckTheFNnum#1{\ZeckTheFN{\xintNum{#1}}}%
1086 \def\XINT_expr_func_fib #1#2#3%
1087 {%
1088   \expandafter #1\expandafter #2\expandafter{%
1089     \romannumeral`&&\XINT:NEhook:f:one:from:one
1090     {\romannumeral`&&\ZeckTheFNnum#3}}%
1091 }%
1092 \def\ZeckTheFSeqnum#1#2{\ZeckTheFSeq{\xintNum{#1}}{\xintNum{#2}}}%
1093 \def\XINT_expr_func_fibseq #1#2#3%
1094 {%
1095   \expandafter #1\expandafter #2\expandafter{%
1096     \romannumeral`&&\XINT:NEhook:f:one:from:two
1097     {\romannumeral`&&\ZeckTheFSeqnum#3}}%
1098 }%

```

Let's the `\xinteval` interface silently handle negative or vanishing input.

```

1099 \def\ZeckBListnum#1{%
1100   \expandafter\zeckblistnum\romannumeral0\xintnum{#1};%
1101 }%
1102 \def\zeckblistnum #1#2;{%
1103   \xint_UDzerominusfork
1104   0#1{\ZeckBList{#2}}#1-{\}0-{\ZeckBList{#1#2}}%
1105   \krof
1106 }%
1107 \def\XINT_expr_func_zeckindices #1#2#3%
1108 {%
1109   \expandafter #1\expandafter #2\expandafter{%
1110     \romannumeral`&&\XINT:NEhook:f:one:from:one
1111     {\romannumeral`&&\ZeckBListnum#3}}%
1112 }%

```

TODO: I have forgotten now but I vaguely remember if compatibility with usage of the defined function in `\xintdefunc` is hoped for that it should first expand its argument even though in our context if purely numerical this is unneeded (and f-expansion will hit a brace generally in case input is $\nu\phi$). Adding anyhow. I have other things in mind currently, to examine later, already quite enough hours on this package.

```

1113 \def\Phi@BList#1{\expandafter\expandafter\expandafter
1114   \phi@blist_b\expandafter\string\romannumeral`&&#1;}%
1115 \catcode`[=1 \catcode`=2 \catcode`\{=12 % }
1116 \def\phi@blist_b #1[if#1{\expandafter\phi@blist_X % }
1117   \else\expandafter\phi@blist_N\fi #1]%
1118 \catcode`[=12 \catcode`=12 \catcode`\{=1 % }
1119 \def\phi@blist_N #1;{%
1120   \expandafter\xint_gobble_i\expanded
1121   \expandafter\phiblist_ab \expanded{\{\xintNum{#1}}}\{0};%
1122 }%
1123 \def\phi@blist_X #1{%
1124   \expandafter\phi@blist_x\expandafter{\iffalse}\fi
1125 }%
1126 \def\phi@blist_x #1#2;{%
1127   \expandafter\xint_gobble_i\expanded
1128   \expandafter\phiblist_ab \expanded{\{\xintNum{#1}}\{\xintNum{#2}}};%
1129 }%

```

9. Interactive code

```
1130 \def\XINT_expr_func_phiexponents #1#2#3%
1131 {%
1132   \expandafter #1\expandafter #2\expandafter{%
1133     \romannumeral`&&\XINT:NEhook:f:one:from:one
1134     {\romannumeral`&&\Phi@BList#3}}%
1135 }%
```

ATTENTION! we leave the modified catcodes in place! (the question mark has regained its catcode other though).

9. Interactive code

Extracts to [zeckendorf.tex](#).

```
1 \input zeckendorfc core.tex
2 \let\xintfirstoftwo\xint_firstoftwo
3 \let\xintsecondoftwo\xint_secondoftw
4 \let\zeckexprmapwithin\XINT:expr:mapwithin
5 \def\zeckNumbraced#1{\xintNum{#1}}
6 \xintexprSafeCatcodes
7
8 \let\ZeckShouldISayOrShouldIGo\iftrue
9 \def\ZeckCmdQ{\let\ZeckShouldISayOrShouldIGo\iffalse}
10 \let\ZeckCmdX\ZeckCmdQ
11 \let\ZeckCmdx\ZeckCmdQ
12 \let\ZeckCmdq\ZeckCmdQ
13
14 \newif\ifzeckphimode
15 \newif\ifzeckindices
16 \zeckindicestrue
17 \newif\ifzeckfromN
18 \zeckfromNtrue
19 \newif\ifzeckmeasuretimes
20 \newif\ifzeckevalonly
21
22 \def\ZeckCmdP{%
23   \zeckphimodetrue
24   \ifzeckindices\ZeckCmdL\else\ZeckCmdB\fi
25 }
26 \def\ZeckCmdZ{%
27   \zeckphimodefals
28   \ifzeckindices\ZeckCmdL\else\ZeckCmdB\fi
29 }
30 \let\ZeckCmdp\ZeckCmdP
31 \let\ZeckCmdz\ZeckCmdZ
32
33 \def\PhiTypesetXPrint #1#2{a=#1, b=#2}
34 \def\ZeckCmdL{%
35   \zeckindicestrue
36   \ifzeckphimode
37     \def\ZeckFromN{\PhiExponents}%
38     \def\ZeckToN##1{\PhiTypesetX{\PhiXfromExponents{##1}}}%
39   \else
```

9. Interactive code

```

40     \def\ZeckFromN{\ZeckIndices}%
41     \def\ZeckToN{\ZeckNFromIndices}%
42     \fi
43 }
44 \let\ZeckCmdl\ZeckCmdL
45
46 \def\ZeckCmdB{%
47     \zeckindicesfalse
48     \ifzeckphimode
49         \def\ZeckFromN{\PhiBasePhi}%
50         \def\ZeckToN##1{\PhiTypesetX{\PhiXfromBasePhi{##1}}}%
51     \else
52         \def\ZeckFromN{\ZeckWord}%
53         \def\ZeckToN{\ZeckNfromWord}%
54     \fi
55 }
56 \let\ZeckCmdW\ZeckCmdB
57 \let\ZeckCmdb\ZeckCmdB
58 \let\ZeckCmdw\ZeckCmdB
59
60 \def\ZeckConvert{%
61     \csname Zeck\ifzeckfromN From\else To\fi N\endcsname
62 }
63 \def\ZeckCmdT{\ifzeckfromN\zeckfromNfalse\else\zeckfromNtrue\fi}
64 \let\ZeckCmdt\ZeckCmdT
65
66 \expandafter\def\csname ZeckCmd@\endcsname{%
67     \ifdefined\xinttheseconds
68         \ifzeckmeasuretimes\zeckmeasuretimesfalse
69         \else \zeckmeasuretimestrue
70     \fi
71 \else
72     \immediate\write128{Sorry, this requires xintexpr 1.4n or later.}%
73 \fi
74 }
75
76 \def\ZeckCmdE{\ifzeckevalonly\zeckevalonlyfalse\else\zeckevalonlytrue\fi}
77 \let\ZeckCmde\ZeckCmdE
78
79 \def\ZeckCmdH{\immediate\write128{\ZeckHelpPanel}}
80 \let\ZeckCmdh\ZeckCmdH
81
82 \ZeckCmdL
83
84 \def\ZeckCommands{Enter input or command
85     (q, z, p, l, w, t, e, @, or h for help).}
86 \def\ZeckPrompt{%
87     \ifzeckevalonly
88     <<<Eval-only (e to quit)>>>^^J%
89     [IN] expression =
90 \else
91     \ifzeckfromN

```

9. Interactive code

```

92  \ifzeckphimode
93    \ifzeckindices <convert to Bergman phi-exponents>^^J%
94    \else          <convert to Bergman phi-representation>^^J%
95    \fi
96    \ZeckCommands^^J
97    [IN] a + b phi =
98  \else
99    \ifzeckindices <convert to Zeckendorf indices>^^J%
100   \else          <convert to Zeckendorf word>^^J%
101   \fi
102   \ZeckCommands^^J
103   [IN] N =
104   \fi
105  \else
106  \ifzeckphimode <convert to a + b phi>^^J
107  \ZeckCommands^^J
108  [IN]
109  \ifzeckindices phi exponents =
110  \else          phi-representation =
111  \fi
112  \else          <convert to integer>^^J
113  \ZeckCommands^^J
114  [IN]
115  \ifzeckindices indices =
116  \else          binary word =
117  \fi
118  \fi
119  \fi
120  \fi
121 }
122 \newlinechar10
123 \immediate\write128{}
124 \immediate\write128{Welcome to Zeckendorf 0.9c (2025/10/17, JFB).}
125
126 \def\ZeckHelpPanel{Commands (lowercase also):^^J
127 Q to quit. Also X.^^J
128 H for this help.^^J
129 Z to switch to Z-mode (starting default).^^J
130 P to switch to Phi-mode.^^J
131 L for indices (Z) or exponents (Phi).^^J
132 W for Zeckendorf word or Bergman phi-representation.^^J
133 T to toggle the direction of conversions.^^J
134 E to toggle to and from \string\xinteval-only mode.^^J
135 @ to toggle measurement of execution times.^^J
136 ^^J
137 - binary words, phi-representations, are parsed only by \string\edef.^^J
138 - all other inputs are handled by \noexpand\xinteval so for example one^^J
139 \space\space
140   can use 2^100 or 100! or binomial(100,50). And a list of indices^^J
141 \space\space
142   can be for example seq(3*a+1, a=0..10).^^J
143 ^^J

```


9. Interactive code

```

144 \space\space The fib() function computes Fibonacci numbers.^J
145 \space\space The character $ serves as symbol for Knuth multiplication.^J%
146 **** empty input is not supported!
147     no linebreaks in input! ****}
148
149 \immediate\write128{\ZeckHelpPanel}
150
151 \def\zeckpar{\par}
152 \long\def\xintbye#1\xintbye{}
153 \long\def\zeckgobblei#1#2{}
154 \long\def\zeckfirstoftwo#1#2{#1}
155 \def\zeckonlyonehelper #1#2#3%
156     \zeckonlyonehelper{\xintbye#2\zeckgobblei\xintbye0}
157
158 \xintFor*#1 in {0123456789}\do{%
159     \expandafter\def\csname ZeckCmd#1\endcsname{%
160         \immediate\write128{%
161 ** Due to under-funding, a lone #1 is not accepted. Inputs must have^^J%
162 ** two characters at least. Think about a donation? Try 0#1.}}
163 }%
164 \xintloop
165 \message{\ZeckPrompt}
166 \read-1to\zeckbuf
167 \ifx\zeckbuf\zeckpar
168     \immediate\write128{**** empty input is not supported, please try again.}
169 \else
170     \edef\zeckbuf{\zeckbuf}

Space token at end of \zeckbuf is annoying. We could have used \xintLength which does
not count space tokens.

171 \if 1\expandafter\zeckonlyonehelper\zeckbuf\xintbye\zeckonlyonehelper1%
172 \ifcsname ZeckCmd\expandafter\zeckfirstoftwo\zeckbuf\relax\endcsname
173     \csname ZeckCmd\expandafter\zeckfirstoftwo\zeckbuf\relax\endcsname
174 \else
175     \immediate\write128{%
176         **** Unrecognized command letter
177         \expandafter\zeckfirstoftwo\zeckbuf\relax. Try again.^J}
178 \fi
179 \else

```

Using the conditional so that this can also be used by default with older xint.

With 0.9b the time needed for parsing the input was not counted, but this meant that measuring in the evaluation-only mode always printed 0.0s.

0.9c has refactored here entirely.

```

180 \ifzeckmeasuretimes\xintresettimer\fi
181 \if1\ifzeckevalonly0\fi\ifzeckfromN0\fi\ifzeckindices0\fi1%
182     \edef\ZeckIn{\zeckbuf}%
183 \else
184     \expandafter\def\expandafter\ZeckIn\expandafter{%
185     \romannumeral0\xintbareeval\zeckbuf\relax}%

```

0.9c uses \xinteval. It adds phi-mode to the interactive interface, but as 1/phi or anything doing an operation will inject ``raw xintfrac format'', we have to be careful

9. Interactive code

about that, because we use `\PhiExponents` and `\PhiBasePhi` which are assuming being used with either an integer `a` or a pair `{a}{b}`. Using here some core level auxiliary from `xintexpr` to avoid a dozen lines like what was done for `\Phi@BList`. For this to work we need a variant of `\xintNum` which outputs with extra braces. This was for the author a refreshing journey to revisit forgotten deep code written years ago for `xintexpr`. But it would be more efficient to do something akin to the `\Phi@BList` business.

By the way we have to do this not only for phi-mode, but also for integer-mode, because some input such as `1e40` will be internally `1[40]` which `\ZeckIndices` does not understand as it does not apply `\xintNum`. In fact any input doing an operation such as an addition will be in ```raw xintfrac format''` internally. So we have to do a normalization also for lists of exponents or indices.

```
186     \ifzeckevalonly\else
187     \expandafter\def\expandafter\ZeckIn
188     \expanded
189     \expandafter\zeckexprmapwithin
190     \expandafter\zeckNumbraced\ZeckIn
```

For lists of exponents and indices the predefined macros expect comma separated lists. We can either "print" using (full) `\xinteval`, or use `\xintListwithSep`, or write a little helper requiring only `\edef` expansion. We add one level of bracing removed later.

```
191     \ifzeckfromN\else
192     \expandafter\def\expandafter\ZeckIn\expandafter{%
193     \expandafter{\romannumeral0\xintlistwithsep,\ZeckIn}%
194     }%
195     \fi
196 \fi
197 \fi
198 \immediate\write128{%
199 [OUT] \ifzeckevalonly
200 \expanded\expandafter\XINTexprprint\expandafter.\ZeckIn
201 \else
202 \expandafter\ZeckConvert\ZeckIn
203 \fi
204 }%
205 \ifzeckmeasuretimes
206 \edef\tmp{\xinttheseconds}%
207 \immediate\write128{%
208 \ifzeckevalonly Evaluation \else Conversion \fi
209 took \tmp s%
210 }%
211 \fi
212 \fi
213 \fi
214 \ZeckShouldISayOrShouldIGo
215 \repeat
216
217 \immediate\write128{Bye. Results are also in log file (hard-wrapped too, alas).}
218 \bye
```

10. \LaTeX code

Extracts to `zeckendorf.sty`.

```
1 \NeedsTeXFormat{LaTeX2e}
2 \ProvidesPackage{zeckendorf}
3   [2025/10/17 v0.9c Zeckendorf representations of big integers (JFB)]%
4 \RequirePackage{xintexpr}
5 \RequirePackage{xintbinhex}% superfluous if with xint 1.4n or later
6 \input zeckendorfc core.tex
7 \ZECKrestorecatcodesendinput%
```