

In many simple cases, the disjunctive prime form is the shortest possible disjunctive normal form that a function can have. But we can often do better, because we might be able to cover all the necessary points with only a few of the maximal subcubes. For example, the prime implicant  $(y \wedge z)$  is unnecessary in (27). And in expression (30) we don't need both  $(\bar{w} \wedge \bar{y} \wedge \bar{z})$  and  $(x \wedge \bar{y} \wedge \bar{z})$ ; either one is sufficient, in the presence of the other terms.

Unfortunately, we will see in Section 7.9 that the task of finding a best disjunctive normal form is NP-complete, thus quite difficult in general. But many useful shortcuts have been developed for sufficiently small problems, and they are well explained in the book *Introduction to the Theory of Switching Circuits* by E. J. McCluskey (New York: McGraw-Hill, 1965). For later developments, see Petr Fišer and Jan Hlavička, *Computing and Informatics* **22** (2003), 19–51.

There's an important special case for which the shortest DNF is, however, easily characterized. A Boolean function is said to be *monotone* or *positive* if its value does not change from 1 to 0 when any of its variables changes from 0 to 1. In other words,  $f$  is monotone if and only if  $f(x) \leq f(y)$  whenever  $x \subseteq y$ , where the bit string  $x = x_1 \dots x_n$  is regarded as contained in or equal to the bit string  $y = y_1 \dots y_n$  if and only if  $x_j \leq y_j$  for all  $j$ . An equivalent condition (see exercise 21) is that the function  $f$  either is constant or can be expressed entirely in terms of  $\wedge$  and  $\vee$ , without complementation.

**Theorem Q.** *The shortest disjunctive normal form of a monotone Boolean function is its disjunctive prime form.*

*Proof.* [W. V. Quine, *Boletín de la Sociedad Matemática Mexicana* **10** (1953), 64–70.] Let  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  be monotone, and let  $u_1 \wedge \dots \wedge u_s$  be one of its prime implicants. We cannot have, say,  $u_1 = \bar{x}_i$ , because in that case the shorter term  $u_2 \wedge \dots \wedge u_s$  would also be an implicant, by monotonicity. Therefore no prime implicant has a complemented literal.

Now if we set  $u_1 \leftarrow \dots \leftarrow u_s \leftarrow 1$  and all other variables to 0, the value of  $f$  will be 1, but all of  $f$ 's other prime implicants will vanish. Thus  $u_1 \wedge \dots \wedge u_s$  must be in every shortest DNF, because every implicant of a shortest DNF is clearly prime. ■

**Corollary Q.** *A disjunctive normal form is the disjunctive prime form of a monotone Boolean function if and only if it has no complemented literals and none of its implicants is contained in another.* ■

**Satisfiability.** A Boolean function is said to be *satisfiable* if it is not identically zero—that is, if it has at least one implicant. The most famous unsolved problem in all of computer science is to find an efficient way to decide whether a given Boolean function is satisfiable or unsatisfiable. More precisely, we ask: Is there an algorithm that inputs a Boolean formula of length  $N$  and tests it for satisfiability, always giving the correct answer after performing at most  $N^{O(1)}$  steps?

When you hear about this problem for the first time, you might be tempted to ask a question of your own in return: “What? Are you serious that computer scientists still haven't figured out how to do such a simple thing?”

McCluskey  
Fišer  
Hlavička  
monotone  
positive  
notations:  $\subseteq$   
Quine  
satisfiability-  
satisfiable  
P=NP?